

THE LIMIT OF THE YANG-MILLS-HIGGS FLOW ON HIGGS BUNDLES

JIAYU LI AND XI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the gradient flow of the Yang-Mills-Higgs functional for Higgs pairs on a Hermitian vector bundle (E, H_0) over a compact Kähler manifold (M, ω) . We study the asymptotic behavior of the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow for Higgs pairs at infinity, and show that the limiting Higgs sheaf is isomorphic to the double dual of the graded Higgs sheaves associated to the Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration of the initial Higgs bundle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (E, H_0) be a Hermitian vector bundle over a compact Kähler manifold (M, ω) , $\mathbf{A}_{H_0}$ be the space of connections of E compatible with the metric H_0 , and $\mathbf{A}_{H_0}^{1,1}$ be the space of unitary integrable connections of E (i.e. those whose curvature is of type $(1, 1)$). Given a unitary integrable connection A on (E, H_0) , then $D_A^{(0,1)} = \bar{\partial}_A$ defines a holomorphic structure on E , and in fact, A is the Chern connection on the holomorphic bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_A)$ with respect to H_0 .

A pair $(A, \phi) \in \mathbf{A}_{H_0}^{1,1} \times \Omega^{1,0}(End(E))$ is called a Higgs pair if the relations $\bar{\partial}_A \phi = 0$ and $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$ are satisfied. Let $\mathbf{B}_{(E, H_0)}$ denote the space of all Higgs pairs on Hermitian vector bundle (E, H_0) . Given a Higgs pair (A, ϕ) , then $(E, \bar{\partial}_A, \phi)$ is a Higgs bundle, i.e. (A, ϕ) determines a Higgs structure on E . Let us consider the Yang-Mills-Higgs functional which is defined on $\mathbf{B}_{(E, H_0)}$:

$$(1.1) \quad YMH(A, \phi) = \int_M (|F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]|^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|^2) dV_g.$$

We call (A, ϕ) a Yang-Mills Higgs pair if it is the critical points of the above Yang-Mills-Higgs functional. Equivalently, the pair (A, ϕ) satisfies the following Yang-Mills-Higgs equations:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} D_A^* F_A + \sqrt{-1}(\partial_A \Lambda_\omega - \bar{\partial}_A \Lambda_\omega)[\phi, \phi^*] = 0, \\ [\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]), \phi] = 0, \end{cases}$$

where the operator Λ_ω is the contraction with ω , and ϕ^* denotes the dual of ϕ with respect to the given metric H_0 .

If (A, ϕ) satisfies the following Hermitian-Einstein equation

$$(1.3) \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]) = \lambda Id_E,$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C07, 58E15.

Key words and phrases. Higgs bundle, Higgs pair, Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration, Yang-Mills-Higgs flow.

The authors were supported in part by NSF in China, No.11131007.

then it must satisfy the above Euler-Lagrange equation (1.2). By Chern-Weil theory, in fact it is the absolute minima of the above Yang-Mills-Higgs functional.

On a Hermitian vector bundle (E, H_0) , the Yang-Mills flow, as the gradient flow of the Yang-Mills functional, was first suggested by Atiyah-Bott in [1]. Donaldson [11] proved the global existence of the Yang-Mills flow in a holomorphic bundle, and proved the convergence of the flow at infinity in the case that the initial holomorphic structure is stable. He then used this fact to establish the correspondence between existence of the Hermitian-Einstein metric and stability of the holomorphic structure over complex algebraic surfaces. This correspondence was first shown by Narasimhan and Seshadri ([27]) in the case of compact Riemann surfaces, and is sometimes referred to as the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence. The general Kähler manifold case was proved by Uhlenbeck and Yau [32] by using the method of continuity.

Without the stability assumption, Atiyah-Bott [1] point out that there should be a correspondence between the Yang-Mills flow and the Harder-Narasimhan filtration over Riemann surfaces, and this is also conjectured by Bando and Siu [6] for the higher dimensional case. This correspondence was proved by Daskalopoulos [8] in the case of Riemann surfaces, by Daskalopoulos and Wentworth [9] in the case of Kähler surfaces. In the higher dimensional case, Hong and Tian [17] study the asymptotic behavior of the Yang-Mills flow, they proved that there is a subsequence along the Yang-Mills flow, modulo gauge transformations, which converges smoothly to a limiting Yang-Mills connection away from the bubbling set Σ_{an} of Hausdorff codimension 4. Recently, Jacob in [18] and Sibley in [28] studied the above correspondence for the higher dimension case.

Let us consider the following gradient flow of the Yang-Mills-Higgs functional of Higgs pairs, which is called the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow. A regular solution is given by a family of $(A(x, t), \phi(x, t)) \in \mathbf{B}_{(E, H_0)}$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -D_A^* F_A - \sqrt{-1}(\partial_A \Lambda_\omega - \bar{\partial}_A \Lambda_\omega)[\phi, \phi^*], \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -[\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]), \phi]. \end{cases}$$

It is interesting to study the Higgs pairs version of Atiyah-Bott's (or Bando-Siu's) conjecture, i.e. there should be a correspondence between the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow for Higgs pairs and the Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration of Higgs bundle. In Riemann surface case, Wilkin [33] develops the analytic results needed to construct a Morse theory for the Yang-Mills-Higgs functional on the space of all Higgs pairs, and proves the Higgs pairs version of Atiyah-Bott's conjecture. In [23], the authors study the bubbling phenomena of the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow on Kähler surface case, and prove that the limit can be extended across the bubbling set Σ_{an} (a finite collection of points) to a smooth Higgs bundle which isomorphic to the the double dual of the graded object of the Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration of the initial Higgs structure.

A Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$ is called stable (semi-stable), if for every ϕ -invariant coherent sub-sheaf $E' \hookrightarrow (E, \bar{\partial}_E)$ of lower rank, it holds:

$$(1.5) \quad \mu(E') = \frac{\deg(E')}{\text{rank } E'} < (=) \mu(E) = \frac{\deg(E)}{\text{rank } E},$$

where $\mu(E')$ is called the slope of E' . A Hermitian metric H on $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$ is called Hermitian-Einstein if it satisfies the Hermitian-Einstein equation (1.3), where A is the Chern connection A_H with respect to the metric H . Higgs bundles first

emerged twenty years ago in Hitchin's [16] reduction of self-dual equation on R^4 to Riemann surface. Higgs bundles have a rich structure and play a role in many different areas including gauge theory, Kähler and hyperkähler geometry, group representations and nonabelian Hodge theory. In [29], Simpson generalized it to the higher dimensional case and proved that a Higgs bundle admits a Hermitian-Einstein metric if and only if it is Higgs poly-stable. This is a Higgs bundle version of the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau theorem. This correspondence has several interesting and important generalizations and extensions where some extra structures are added to the holomorphic bundles, see references: [16], [29],[4],[13], [5], [2], [3], [7], [20], [21], [22], [26], [31].

To a Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$ of rank R , as that for holomorphic bundles, one can associate a filtration by ϕ -invariant holomorphic subsheaves, which is called the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, whose successive quotients are Higgs semi-stable. The topological type of the pieces in the associated graded objects is encoded into an R -tuple $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_R)$ of rational numbers called the Harder-Narasimhan type (abbr, HN-type) of the Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$. For every semi-stable Higgs sub-sheaf, one can associate a Seshadri filtration, whose successive quotients are Higgs stable. Then, we have a double filtration which is called the Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration (abbr, HNS-filtration) of the Higgs bundle, and we write $Gr^{HNS}(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$ for the associated graded object (i.e. the direct sum of the stable quotients) of the HNS filtration.

Now, we consider the asymptotic behavior of the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (1.4) of Higgs pairs for the higher dimensional case. In [23], we proved the global existence and uniqueness of the solution for the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow, and obtained many basic properties of the flow, including the energy inequality, Bochner-type inequality, monotonicities of certain quantities. In this paper, we first give a small action regularity estimate (Theorem 2.6.) for the higher dimensional case, which was proved for the Kähler surface case in [23]. Then, following Hong-Tian's argument in [17], we conclude that there exists a sequence of Higgs pairs along the solution of the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (1.4) which converges, modulo gauge transformations, to a limiting Yang-Mills-Higgs pair in C_{loc}^∞ topology outside the bubbling set $\Sigma_{an} \subset M$, where Σ_{an} is a closed set of Hausdorff real codimension 4. Furthermore, we show that the limiting $(E_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ can be extended to the whole M as a reflexive Higgs sheaf, and prove that this extended reflexive Higgs bundle is isomorphic to the double dual of the graded object of the Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration of the initial Higgs bundle (E, A_0, ϕ_0) , i.e. we prove the Higgs version of Atiyah-Bott's (or Bando-Siu's) conjecture for the higher dimension case. We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (E, H_0) be a Hermitian vector bundle on a compact Kähler manifold (M, ω) , and $(A(t), \phi(t))$ be a global smooth solution of the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (1.4) with smooth initial Higgs pair (A_0, ϕ_0) . Then:*

(1) *There exists a sequence $\{t_j\}$ such that, as $t_j \rightarrow \infty$, $(A(t_j), \phi(t_j))$ converges, modulo gauge transformations, to a Hermitian-Einstein Higgs pair (A_∞, ϕ_∞) on Hermitian vector bundle (E_∞, H_∞) in C_{loc}^∞ topology outside a closed set $\Sigma^{an} \subset M$, where Σ^{an} is a closed set of Hausdorff codimension at least 4.*

(2) The limiting $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$ can be extended to the whole M as a reflexive Higgs sheaf with a holomorphic orthogonal splitting

$$(1.6) \quad (E_\infty, H_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l (E_\infty^i, H_\infty^i, A_\infty^i, \phi_\infty^i),$$

where H_∞^i is an admissible Hermitian-Einstein metrics on the reflexive Higgs sheaf $(E_\infty^i, A_\infty^i, \phi_\infty^i)$.

(3) Moreover, the extended reflexive Higgs sheaf is isomorphic to the double dual of the graded Higgs sheaves associated to the HNS-filtration of the initial Higgs bundle, i.e. we have

$$(1.7) \quad (E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty) \simeq Gr^{HNS}(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0)^{**}.$$

We now give an overview of our proof. We ([23]) have already demonstrated that there is an uniform C^0 bound on Higgs fields $\phi(t)$, so the basic idea in [9] for the Yang-Mills flow in the Kähler surface case can be used. But there are two points where we need new arguments for the higher dimensional case. The first one is to prove that the HN type of the limiting Higgs sheaf is in fact equal to the type of the initial Higgs bundle; and the second one is to construct a non-zero holomorphic map from any stable quotient Higgs sheaf in HNS filtration of initial Higgs bundle to the limiting Higgs sheaf.

The first idea is closely related to the existence of an L^p -approximate critical Hermitian metric (as defined in [9]). Under the semi-stability assumption of the initial Higgs bundle (E, A_0, ϕ_0) in [24], we proved the existence of L^∞ -approximate metric by the heat flow method. For the general case, we will use the cut-off argument by Daskalopoulos and Wentworth in [9]. We use the resolution of singularities theorem of Hironaka [15], and write $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ as the composition of blow-ups involved in the resolution, then the pullback bundle π^*E has a filtration by Higgs subbundles, which is precisely the HNS-filtration of the initial Higgs bundle away from the exceptional divisor $\tilde{\Sigma}$. The metric $\pi^*\omega$ is degenerated along the divisor $\tilde{\Sigma}$, and it can be approximated by a family of Kähler metrics ω_ϵ on $\tilde{M}$ as that in [6]. Since every pullback quotient bundle is stable with respect to Kähler metrics ω_ϵ for small ϵ , one can use Simpson's theorem (in [29]) to take the direct sum of the Hermitian-Einstein metrics on quotient Higgs subbundles in the resolution. If one can get a flat Hermitian metric in the neighborhood of singularities, then one may use Daskalopoulos and Wentworth's cut-off argument (where the singularity set is a collection of finite points). After getting some uniform estimates, and modifying this metric, one can show that its Hermitian-Einstein tensor is close to the HN type in the L^p norm. By pushing this metric down, one can obtain a smooth L^p -approximate critical Hermitian metric on the Higgs bundle (E, A_0, ϕ_0) . This idea was used by Sibley in [28]. However, since the singularity set for the filtration is complex codimension 2 which is not necessary the collection of finite points, in general we can not get a flat Hermitian metric on a neighborhood of singularities. But we should point out that this is not a crucial issue. Using Sibley's good observation (see Lemma 5.8) and by choosing any fixed Hermitian metric on a neighborhood of singularities, we can also obtain an uniform estimate, this is enough to obtain a smooth L^p -approximate critical Hermitian metric, see Proposition 5.11. for details.

For the second one, we use Donaldson's idea to construct a nonzero holomorphic map to the limiting bundle as the limit of the sequence of gauge transformations (by rescaled) defined by the flow. The difficulty is to prove that the limiting map is in fact non-zero, because we have no uniform L^∞ bound on the mean curvature (i.e. $|\sqrt{-1} \wedge_\omega F_A|$) for subsheaves. If the singularities are finite points, i.e. the Kähler surface case, we can follow the argument in [9] by a complex analytic argument to get uniform C^0 estimate, and then prove the limiting holomorphic map is non-zero. This argument is not suitable for higher dimensional case, since we do not know whether the complement of the singular set has a strictly pseudo-concave boundary. Using the resolution of singularities, we consider the pullback bundle which has a filtration by subbundles. Evolving the Hermitian metric by the Donaldson heat flow with respect to Kähler metric ω_ϵ , by the result in [6], we get uniform L^∞ bounds on the mean curvature of $H(t)$ for positive t . By uniform local C^0 estimate of the evolved Hermitian metrics and using the standard elliptic estimates, we can construct a nonzero holomorphic map which we need (see Proposition 4.1. for detail). In [18] and [28], the authors studied the same question for holomorphic vector bundles, they have good observations there. We should point out that our argument is different from the ones they used.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic estimates for Donaldson's heat flow and the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow, and prove the first and second part of Theorem 1.1., see Theorem 2.7. and Proposition 2.10.. In section 3, we consider the resolution of the HNS filtration of Higgs bundle. In section 4, we construct a non-zero holomorphic map between Higgs sheaves, where Proposition 4.1. is the key technical part in the proof of Theorem 1.1.. In section 5, we use the cut-off argument to obtain L^p -approximate critical Hermitian metric, and prove that the Harder-Narasimhan type of the limiting Higgs sheaf is in fact equal to the type of the initial Higgs bundle. In section 6, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. using an inductive argument.

2. ANALYTIC PRELIMINARIES AND BASIC ESTIMATES

Let (M, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n , and $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$ be a Higgs bundle on M . Suppose $H(t)$ is a solution of the following Donaldson's heat flow with initial metric H_0 ,

$$(2.1) \quad H^{-1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -2(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_H + [\phi, \phi^{*H}]) - \lambda Id_E).$$

Let $h(t) = H_0^{-1} H(t)$, using the identities

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_H - \partial_{H_0} &= h^{-1} \partial_{H_0} h; \\ F_H - F_{H_0} &= \bar{\partial}_E (h^{-1} \partial_{H_0} h); \\ \phi^{*H} &= h^{-1} \phi^{*H_0} h, \end{aligned}$$

then we can rewrite (2.1) as

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -2\sqrt{-1} h \Lambda_\omega (F_{H_0} + \bar{\partial}_E (h^{-1} \partial_{H_0} h) + [\phi, h^{-1} \phi^{*H_0} h]) + 2\lambda h.$$

In [29], Simpson proved the existence of long time solution of the heat flow (2.1). The following lemma is essentially proved by Simpson ([29] Lemma 6.1).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $H(t)$ be a solution of the heat flow (2.1) with initial metric H_0 , then we have:*

$$(2.4) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \text{tr}(\Lambda_\omega(F_H + [\phi, \phi^{*H}])) = 0$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) |\Lambda_\omega(F_H + [\phi, \phi^{*H}])|_H^2 = -4 |D_\phi''(\Lambda_\omega(F_H + [\phi, \phi^{*H}]))|_H^2,$$

where $D_\phi'' = \bar{\partial}_E + \phi$.

Denote the complex gauge group (unitary gauge group) of the Hermitian vector bundle (E, H_0) by $\mathbf{G}^C$ ($\mathbf{G}$, where $\mathbf{G} = \{\sigma \in \mathbf{G}^C | \sigma^{*H_0} \sigma = Id\}$). $\mathbf{G}^C$ acts on the space of Higgs pairs $\mathbf{B}_{(E, H_0)}$ as follows: let $\sigma \in \mathbf{G}^C$

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{\partial}_{\sigma(A)} = \sigma \circ \bar{\partial}_A \circ \sigma^{-1}, \quad \partial_{\sigma(A)} = (\sigma^{*H_0})^{-1} \circ \partial_A \circ \sigma^{*H_0};$$

$$(2.7) \quad \sigma(\phi) = \sigma \circ \phi \circ \sigma^{-1}.$$

In [23], we proved the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. (Theorem 2.1 in [23]) *Given any Higgs pair (A_0, ϕ_0) , the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (1.4) has a unique solution $(A(t), \phi(t))$ in the complex gauge orbit of (A_0, Φ_0) . In fact, $(A(t), \phi(t)) = g(t)(A_0, \phi_0)$, where $g(t) \in \mathbf{G}^C$ satisfies $g^{*H_0}(t)g(t) = H_0^{-1}H(t)$, and $H(t)$ is the solution of Donaldson's flow (2.1) on Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0)$ with initial metric H_0 .*

Furthermore, we have the following Bochner type inequality ([23, p. 1384])

$$(2.8) \quad \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) |\phi|^2 \geq 2|\nabla_A \phi|^2 + \tilde{C}_1(|\phi|^2 + 1)^2 - \tilde{C}_2(|\phi|^2 + 1),$$

where constants $\tilde{C}_1$ and $\tilde{C}_2$ depend only on the geometry of (X, ω) and the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) . By the maximum principle, we have the following uniform C^0 estimate for $\phi(t)$.

Lemma 2.3. (Lemma 2.3. in [23]) *Let $(A(t), \phi(t))$ be a solution of the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (1.4) with initial Higgs pair (A_0, ϕ_0) , then we have*

$$(2.9) \quad |\phi(x, t)|_{H_0}^2 \leq C,$$

where C is a constant depending only on ϕ_0 and the geometry of (M, ω) .

For simplicity, we set

$$(2.10) \quad \theta(A, \phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]),$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad I(t) = \int_M |D_{A(t)} \theta(A(t), \phi(t))|_{H_0}^2 + 2|[\theta(A(t), \phi(t)), \phi(t)]|_{H_0}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

Let $(A(t), \phi(t))$ be the solution of the heat flow (1.4) on the Hermitian bundle (E, H_0) with initial Higgs pair (A_0, ϕ_0) , and $H(t)$ be the solution of the Donaldson's flow (2.1) on Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0)$ with initial metric H_0 . As above, we know that $(A(t), \phi(t)) = g(t)(A_0, \phi_0)$, where $g(t) \in \mathbf{G}^C$ and satisfies $g(t)^{*H_0}g(t) = h(t) = H_0^{-1}H(t)$. By (2.2), (2.6), (2.7), it is easy to check that

$$(2.12) \quad F_{A(t)} = g(t) \circ F_{H(t)} \circ g(t)^{-1},$$

$$(2.13) \quad [\phi(t), \phi(t)^{*H_0}] = g(t) \circ [\phi_0, \phi_0^{*H(t)}] \circ g(t)^{-1},$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad |\theta(A(t), \phi(t))|_{H_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} |\Lambda_\omega(F_{H(t)} + [\phi_0, \phi_0^{*H(t)}])|_{H(t)},$$

where $F_{H(t)}$ is the curvature of the Chern connection on $(E, \overline{A_0})$ with respect to the metric $H(t)$. Direct calculation shows that

$$(2.15) \quad I(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad (t \rightarrow \infty),$$

the proof can be found in [23] (page 1384-1386).

Furthermore, we recall the monotonicity inequality (Theorem 2.6 in [23]) for the solution $(A(t), \phi(t))$ of (1.4). For any point $x_0 \in X$, there exists complex normal coordinates $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ in the geodesic ball $B_r(x_0)$ with center at x_0 and radius $r \leq i_X$ (i_X is the infimum of the injectivity radius), such that

$$|g_{i\bar{j}}(z) - \delta_{ij}| \leq C|z|^2, \quad \left| \frac{\partial g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k} \right| \leq C|z|$$

for any $z \in B_r(x_0)$, where $(g_{i\bar{j}})$ is given by $g_{i\bar{j}} = g(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\bar{j}}})$ and C is a constant which only depends on x_0 . For a fixed point $u_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in X \times \mathbb{R}_+$, we denote

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} T_r(x_0, t_0) &= \{u = (x, t) : t_0 - 4r^2 < t < t_0 - r^2, x \in X\}, \\ P_r(u_0) &= B_r(x_0) \times [t_0 - r^2, t_0 + r^2]. \end{aligned}$$

The fundamental solution of (backward) heat equation with singularity at (z_0, t_0) is

$$(2.17) \quad G_{(z_0, t_0)}(z, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi(t_0 - t))^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|z - z_0|^2}{4(t_0 - t)}\right), \quad (t < t_0).$$

Assume $(A(t), \phi(t))$ is a solution of the heat flow (1.4) with initial value (A_0, ϕ_0) . Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_{i_X}(x_0))$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_{i_X/2}(x_0)$, $|\varphi| \leq 1$, and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 4/i_X$ in $B_{i_X}(x_0) \setminus B_{i_X/2}(x_0)$. Then we set

$$(2.18) \quad e(A, \phi)(x, t) = |F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_{H_0}^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|_{H_0}^2$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad \Phi(r; A, \phi) = r^2 \int_{T_r(x_0, t_0)} e(A, \phi) \varphi^2 G_{u_0} dV_g dt.$$

We have the following monotonicity inequality.

Proposition 2.4. (Theorem 2.6 in [23]) *Let $(A(t), \phi(t))$ be a solution of the heat flow (1.4) with initial value (A_0, ϕ_0) . Then, for any $(x_0, t_0) \in X \times [0, T]$ and $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \min\{i_X, \sqrt{t_0}/2\}$, we have*

$$(2.20) \quad \Phi(r_1; A, \phi) \leq C \exp(C(r_2 - r_1)) \Phi(r_2; A, \phi) + C(r_2^2 - r_1^2) \text{YMH}(A_0, \phi_0)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on the geometry of (X, ω) .

For further consideration, we give an estimate for the integral of $|\nabla_A \phi|^2$ over $P_R(u_0)$, where $R \leq \min\{\sqrt{t_0}, i_X/2\}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(A(t), \phi(t))$ is a smooth solution of (1.4) with initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) . Then, for any point $u_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in X \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and $R \in (0, \min\{\sqrt{t_0}, i_X/2\}]$, we have*

$$(2.21) \quad \int_{P_R(u_0)} |\nabla_A \phi|^2 dv_g dt \leq CR^{2n},$$

where C is a constant depending only on the geometry of (X, ω) and the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) .

Proof. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(x_0))$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $f \equiv 1$ on $B_R(x_0)$, $|f| \leq 1$ and $|\nabla f| \leq 4/R$, $|\Delta f| \leq 16/R^2$ in $B_{2R}(x_0) \setminus B_R(x_0)$. By the Bochner type inequality (2.8) and the C^0 -estimate (2.9), we have

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})f^2|\phi|^2 &= 4f\langle \nabla f, \nabla |\phi|^2 \rangle + 2|\nabla f|^2|\phi|^2 + 2f\Delta f|\phi|^2 + f^2(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})|\phi|^2 \\ &\geq -8|f||\nabla f||\nabla_A \phi||\phi| + 2|\nabla f|^2|\phi|^2 + 2f\Delta f|\phi|^2 \\ &\quad + 2f^2|\nabla_A \phi|^2 - C_2(|\phi|^2 + 1)f^2 \\ &\geq -14|\nabla f|^2|\phi|^2 + f^2|\nabla_A \phi|^2 \\ &\quad + 2f\Delta f|\phi|^2 - C_2(|\phi|^2 + 1)f^2 \\ &\geq f^2|\nabla_A \phi|^2 - C_4 - C_5(|\nabla f|^2 + |\Delta f|), \end{aligned}$$

where C_4 and C_5 are constants depending only on the geometry of (X, ω) and the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) .

Integrating both sides of the inequality (2.22) over $X \times [t_0 - R^2, t_0 + R^2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0 - R^2}^{t_0 + R^2} \int_X f^2 |\nabla_A \phi|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{t_0 - R^2}^{t_0 + R^2} \int_X \left\{ \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) f^2 |\phi|^2 + C_4 + C_5(|\nabla f|^2 + |\Delta f|) \right\} dV_g dt \\ &\leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\phi(\cdot, t_0 - R^2)|^2 dV_g + C_6 R^{2n} + C_6 R^{2n+2} \leq C_7 R^{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

where constant C_7 depends only on the geometry of (X, ω) and the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) . $\square$

Using the above monotonicity inequality (2.20) and the estimate (2.21) of $|\nabla_A \phi|^2$, we can obtain the following ϵ -regularity theorem for the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (1.4). The argument is very similar with the Kähler surface case (Theorem 3.1. in [23]), but there is a difference in handling the term $|\nabla_A \phi|^2$. So we give a proof in detail for reader's convenience.

Theorem 2.6. (ϵ -regularity theorem) *Let (A, ϕ) be a smooth solution of (1.4) over an n -dimensional compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) with initial value (A_0, ϕ_0) . There exist positive constants $\epsilon_0, \delta_0 < 1/4$, such that, if for some $0 < R < \min\{i_X, \frac{\sqrt{t_0}}{2}\}$, the inequality*

$$(2.23) \quad R^{2-2n} \int_{P_R(x_0, t_0)} e(A(t), \phi(t)) dV_g dt \leq \epsilon_0$$

holds, then for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, we have

$$(2.24) \quad \sup_{P_{\delta R}(x_0, t_0)} e(A(t), \phi(t)) \leq 16(\delta R)^{-4},$$

and

$$(2.25) \quad \sup_{P_{\delta R}(x_0, t_0)} |\nabla_{A(t)} \phi(t)|^2 \leq C,$$

where C is a constant depending only on the geometry of (X, ω) , the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) , δ_0 and R .

Proof. For any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$, we construct a function $f: [0, 2\delta R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(2.26) \quad f(r) = (2\delta R - r)^4 \sup_{P_r(x_0, t_0)} e(A, \phi).$$

Since $f(r)$ is continuous and $f(2\delta R) = 0$, we can assume that $f(r)$ attains its maximum at a certain $r_0 \in [0, 2\delta R)$. There exists a point $(x_1, t_1) \in \overline{P_{r_0}(x_0, t_0)}$, such that

$$e(A, \phi)(x_1, t_1) = \sup_{P_{r_0}(x_0, t_0)} e(A, \phi).$$

We claim that when ϵ_0, δ_0 are small enough, $f(r_0) \leq 16$. Otherwise, set

$$\rho_0 := \frac{(2\delta R - r_0)}{\sqrt[4]{f(r_0)}} = e(A, \phi)(x_1, t_1)^{-1/4} < \frac{2\delta R - r_0}{2}.$$

Rescaling the Riemannian metric $\tilde{g} = \rho_0^{-2}g$ and $t = t_1 + \rho_0^2 \tilde{t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_{\tilde{g}}^2 &= \rho_0^4 |F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_g^2, \\ |\partial_A \phi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 &= \rho_0^4 |\partial_A \phi|_g^2, \quad |\nabla_A \phi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 = \rho_0^4 |\nabla_A \phi|_g^2. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\rho_0}(x, \tilde{t}) &:= |F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_{\tilde{g}}^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 = \rho_0^4 e(A, \phi)(x, t_1 + \rho_0^2 \tilde{t}), \\ b_{\rho_0}(x, \tilde{t}) &:= |\nabla_A \phi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 = \rho_0^4 |\nabla_A \phi|_g^2(x, t_1 + \rho_0^2 \tilde{t}), \\ \tilde{P}_{\tilde{r}}(x_1, 0) &:= B_{\rho_0 \tilde{r}}(x_1) \times [-\tilde{r}^2, \tilde{r}^2], \end{aligned}$$

we have $e_{\rho_0}(x_1, 0) = \rho_0^4 e(a, \phi)(x_1, t_1) = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\tilde{P}_1(x_1, 0)} e_{\rho_0} &= \rho_0^4 \sup_{P_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1)} e(A, \phi) \leq \rho_0^4 \sup_{P_{2\delta R + r_0/2}(x_0, t_0)} e(A, \phi) \\ &\leq \rho_0^4 f(r_0) \left(\frac{2\delta R - r_0}{2} \right)^{-4} = 16. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(2.27) \quad |F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]|_{\tilde{g}} + 2|\partial_A \phi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 \leq 16, \quad \text{on } \tilde{P}_1(x_1, 0).$$

Using the Bochner type inequality (2.11) in [23]

$$\begin{aligned} (2.28) \quad & \left(\triangle_g - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) |\nabla_A \phi|_g^2 - 2|\nabla_A \nabla_A \phi|_g^2 \\ & \geq -C(n)(|F_A|_g + |Rm|_g + |Ric_X|_g + |\phi|_g^2) |\nabla_A \phi|_g^2 \\ & \quad - C(n)|\phi|_g |\nabla Ric_X|_g |\nabla_A \phi|_g, \end{aligned}$$

and inequality (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.29) \quad & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} - \Delta_{\tilde{g}} \right) (b_{\rho_0} + \rho_0^4) = \rho_0^6 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} - \Delta_g \right) (|\nabla_A \phi|_g^2 + 1) \\
& \leq C(n) \rho_0^6 (|F_A|_g + |Rm|_g + |Ric_X|_g + |\phi|_g^2) |\nabla_A \phi|_g^2 \\
& \quad + C(n) \rho_0^6 |\phi|_g |\nabla Ric_X|_g |\nabla_A \phi|_g \\
& \leq C_8 (b_{\rho_0} + \rho_0^4),
\end{aligned}$$

where C_8 is a positive constant depending only on the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) and the geometry of (X, ω) . Then using the parabolic mean value inequality (Theorem 14.7 in [25]) and the Lemma 2.5., we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.30) \quad & \sup_{\tilde{P}_{1/2}(x_1, 0)} (b_{\rho_0} + \rho_0^4) \leq C^* \int_{\tilde{P}_1(x_1, 0)} (b_{\rho_0} + \rho_0^4) dV_{\tilde{g}} d\tilde{t} \\
& = C^* \rho_0^{2-2n} \int_{P_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1)} (|\nabla_A \phi|_g^2 + 1) dV_g dt \\
& \leq C \rho_0^2 \leq C_9
\end{aligned}$$

where C_9 is a positive constant depending only on the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) and the geometry of (X, ω) . By using the Bochner type inequality (2.12) in [23]

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.31) \quad & \left(\Delta_g - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) (|F_{A(t)} + [\phi(t), \phi(t)^{*H_0}]|_g^2 + 2|\partial_{A(t)} \phi(t)|_g^2) \\
& - 2|\nabla_{A(t)} (|F_{A(t)} + [\phi(t), \phi(t)^{*H_0}]|_g^2)| - 4|\nabla_{A(t)} (\partial_{A(t)} \phi(t))|_g^2 \\
& \geq -C(n) (|F_{A(t)} + [\phi(t), \phi(t)^{*H_0}]|_g + |\nabla_{A(t)} \phi(t)|_g + |\phi(t)|_g^2 + |Rm|_g) \\
& \quad (|F_{A(t)} + [\phi(t), \phi(t)^{*H_0}]|_g^2 + 2|\partial_{A(t)} \phi(t)|_g^2),
\end{aligned}$$

(2.27), (2.9) and (2.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} - \Delta_{\tilde{g}} \right) e_{\rho_0} = \rho_0^6 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} - \Delta_g \right) e(A, \phi) \\
& \leq \rho_0^6 C(n) (|F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_g + |\nabla_A \phi|_g + |\phi|_g^2 + |Rm|_g) \\
& \quad (|F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_g^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|_g^2) \\
& \leq C_{10} e_{\rho_0},
\end{aligned}$$

where C_{10} is a positive constant depending only on i_X , the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) and the geometry of (X, ω) . Using the parabolic mean value inequality again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.32) \quad & 1 = e_{\rho_0}(x_1, 0) \leq \sup_{\tilde{P}_{1/4}(x_1, 0)} e_{\rho_0}(x, \tilde{t}) \leq C \int_{\tilde{P}_{1/2}(x_1, 0)} e_{\rho_0} dV_{\tilde{g}} d\tilde{t} \\
& \leq C_{11} \rho_0^{2-2n} \int_{P_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1)} e(A, \phi) dV_g dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where C_{11} is a positive constant depending only on the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) and the geometry of (X, ω) .

We choose normal complex coordinates centred at x_1 , and a smooth cut-off function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_{R/2}(x_1))$, such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_{R/2}(x_1)$, $|\varphi| \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 8/R$ on $B_R(x_1) \setminus B_{R/2}(x_1)$. Let $r_1 = \rho_0$ and $r_2 = \delta_0 R$, using the monotonicity inequality

(2.20) and the properties of the fundamental solution G , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.33) \quad & \rho_0^{2-2n} \int_{P_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1)} e(A, \phi) dV_g dt \\
& \leq C \rho_0^2 \int_{P_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1)} e(A, \phi) G_{(x_1, t_1 + 2\rho_0^2)} \varphi^2 dV_g dt \\
& \leq C \rho_0^2 \int_{T_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1 + 2\rho_0^2)} e(A, \phi) G_{(x_1, t_1 + 2\rho_0^2)} \varphi^2 dV_g dt \\
& \leq C r_2^2 \int_{T_{r_2}(x_1, t_1 + 2\rho_0^2)} e(A, \phi) \varphi^2 G_{(x_1, t_1 + 2\rho_0^2)} dV_g dt + C \delta_0^2 R^2 \text{YMH}(A_0, \phi_0) \\
& \leq C \delta_0^{2-2n} R^{2-2n} \int_{P_R(x_0, t_0)} e(A, \phi) dV_g dt + C \delta_0^2 R^2 \text{YMH}(A_0, \phi_0) \\
& \leq C_{12} (\epsilon_0 \delta_0^{2-2n} + \delta_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where C_{12} is a positive constant depending only on the geometry of (X, ω) and the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) .

From (2.32) and (2.33), we have the inequality $1 \leq C_{11} C_{12} (\epsilon_0 \delta_0^{2-2n} + \delta_0)$. Choosing ϵ_0, δ_0 small enough, we get a contradiction. Thus we have proved the claim. So, we have

$$(2.34) \quad (2\delta R - r)^4 \sup_{P_r(x_0, t_0)} e(A, \phi) \leq 16$$

for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ and $r \in [0, 2\delta R]$. It is easy to see that (2.34) implies (2.24), and

$$(2.35) \quad \sup_{P_{\frac{3}{2}\delta_0 R}(x_0, t_0)} (|F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]_g|^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|_g^2) \leq f(r_0) (\delta_0 R/2)^{-4} \leq 256 (\delta_0 R)^{-4}.$$

In $P_{\frac{3}{2}\delta_0 R}(x_0, t_0)$, using the Bochner type inequality (2.28), the parabolic mean value inequality and the Lemma 2.5., we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.36) \quad & \sup_{P_{\delta R}(x_0, t_0)} (|\nabla_A \phi|_g^2 + 1) \leq C_{13} \int_{P_{3\delta_0 R/2}(x_0, t_0)} (|\nabla_A \phi|_g^2 + 1) dV_g dt \\
& \leq C_{14}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, where C_{13} and C_{14} are positive constants depending only on the geometry of (X, ω) , R , δ_0 and the initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) . This complete the proof. $\square$

Using the above ϵ -regularity theorem, and following the argument of Hong and Tian [17] for the Yang-Mills flow case, we can analyze the limiting behavior of the heat flow (1.4). We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $(A(t), \phi(t))$ be a smooth solution of the gradient heat flow (1.4) on a Hermitian vector bundle (E, H_0) over Kähler manifold (M, ω) with smooth initial data. Then there exists a sequence $\{t_i\}$ such that, as $t_i \rightarrow \infty$, $(A(t_i), \phi(t_i))$ converges, modulo gauge transformations, to a solution (A_∞, ϕ_∞) of the Yang-Mills-Higgs equation (1.2) on Hermitian vector bundle (E_∞, H_∞) in C_{loc}^∞ topology outside $\Sigma^{an} \subset M$, where Σ^{an} is a closed set of Hausdorff codimension at least 4, and there exists an isometry between (E, H_0) and (E_∞, H_∞) outside Σ_{an} .*

Corollary 2.8. *Let $(A(t_i), \phi(t_i))$ be a sequence of Higgs pairs along the gradient heat flow (1.4) with Uhlenbeck limit (A_∞, ϕ_∞) , then:*

- (1) $\theta(A(t_i), \phi(t_i)) \rightarrow \theta(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ strongly in L^p for all $1 \leq p < \infty$, and consequently, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M |\theta(A_t, \phi_t)|^2 = \int_M |\theta(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)|^2$;
 (2) $\|\theta(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\theta(A(t_j), \phi(t_j))\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\theta(A_{t_0}, \phi_{t_0})\|_{L^\infty}$ for $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_j$.

Remark 2.9. Since we already have the ϵ -regularity theorem (Theorem 2.6.) for the higher dimensional case, the above theorem and corollary can be proved in the same way as that in the Kähler surface case (Theorem 3.2. and Corollary 3.12. in [23]). So, we omit the proof.

From the equation (1.2), we know that

$$(2.37) \quad D_{A_\infty} \theta_\infty = 0, \quad [\theta_\infty, \phi_\infty] = 0,$$

where $\theta_\infty = \Lambda_\omega(F_{A_\infty} + [\phi_\infty, \phi_\infty^*])$. Since θ_∞ is parallel and $(\sqrt{-1}\theta_\infty)^* = \sqrt{-1}\theta_\infty$, we can decompose E_∞ according to the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{-1}\theta_\infty$. We obtain a holomorphic orthogonal decomposition

$$(2.38) \quad E_\infty = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l E_\infty^i,$$

and

$$(2.39) \quad \phi_\infty : E_\infty^i \rightarrow E_\infty^i$$

on $M \setminus \Sigma_{an}$. Let H_∞^i be the restrictions of H_∞ to E_∞^i , ϕ_∞^i be the restriction of ϕ_∞ to E_∞^i , and $A_\infty^i = A_\infty|_{E_\infty^i}$. Then $(A_\infty^i, \phi_\infty^i)$ is a Higgs pair on (E_∞^i, H_∞^i) and satisfies

$$(2.40) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_\infty^i} + [\phi_\infty^i, (\phi_\infty^i)^*]) = 2\pi\lambda_i Id_{E_\infty^i}.$$

So $(A_\infty^i, \phi_\infty^i)$ is a Hermitian-Einstein Higgs pair on (E_∞^i, H_∞^i) , i.e. $(E_\infty^i, H_\infty^i, A_\infty^i, \phi_\infty^i)$ is a Hermitian-Einstein Higgs bundle on $M \setminus \Sigma_{an}$.

The Yang-Mills-Higgs functional is decreasing along the gradient flow (1.4), and $\phi(t)$ is uniformly C^0 bounded (by Lemma 2.3.), then we have

$$(2.41) \quad \int_{M \setminus \Sigma_{an}} |F_{A_\infty}|_{H_\infty}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq C < \infty.$$

Since the singularity set Σ_{an} is of Hausdorff codimension 4, ϕ_∞ is holomorphic and C^0 bounded, and every metric H_∞^i (or the connection A_∞^i) satisfies the Hermitian-Einstein equation (1.3), a similar argument as that in the proof of Theorem 2 in Bando and Siu's paper [6] can show that, every $(E_\infty^i, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty^i})$ can be extended to the whole M as a reflexive sheaf (which is also denoted by $(E_\infty^i, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty^i})$ for simplicity), ϕ_∞^i and H_∞^i can be smoothly extended over the place where the sheaf $(E_\infty^i, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty^i})$ is locally free. So we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.10. *The limiting $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$ can be extended to the whole M as a reflexive Higgs sheaf with a holomorphic orthogonal splitting*

$$(2.42) \quad (E_\infty, H_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l (E_\infty^i, H_\infty^i, A_\infty^i, \phi_\infty^i),$$

where H_∞^i is an admissible Hermitian-Einstein metrics on the reflexive Higgs sheaf $(E_\infty^i, A_\infty^i, \phi_\infty^i)$.

Let S be a ϕ -invariant torsion free sub-sheaf of $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$ with a Hermitian metric H . Since we can view S as a holomorphic vector sub-bundle off the singular set Σ where S fails to be locally free, away from Σ we have a corresponding orthogonal

projection $\pi : E \rightarrow E$ with $\pi(E) = S$. Since S is ϕ -invariant and holomorphic, on almost everywhere of M , we have $\pi^2 = \pi = \pi^{*H}$; $(Id - \pi)\bar{\partial}\pi = 0$; and $(Id - \pi)[\phi, \pi] = 0$. Furthermore, it can be shown that π extends to an L_1^2 section of $EndE$. Conversely such π determines a coherent ϕ -invariant subsheaf.

Definition 2.11. *An element π is called a weakly ϕ holomorphic subbundle if $\pi \in L_1^2(End(E))$ and*

$$(2.43) \quad \begin{aligned} (Id - \pi)\bar{\partial}\pi &= 0; \\ \pi^2 &= \pi = \pi^{*H}; \\ (Id - \pi)[\phi, \pi] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

hold almost everywhere.

In [32], Uhlenbeck and Yau prove that the above π determines a coherent subsheaf S , the last term in conditions (2.43) implies that S is ϕ -invariant. So, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.12. *A weakly ϕ holomorphic subbundle π determines a ϕ -invariant coherent subsheaf of the Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$.*

3. RESOLUTION OF THE HNS FILTRATION

Given a Higgs bundle (E, A, ϕ) on a Kähler manifold (M, ω) . A Higgs sub-sheaf of (E, A, ϕ) is a ϕ -invariant coherent analytic subsheaf $S \subset (E, A)$. The ω -slope $\mu(S)$ of a torsion-free sheaf $S \rightarrow M$ is defined by:

$$(3.1) \quad \mu_\omega(S) = \frac{\deg_\omega(S)}{\text{rank}(S)} = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(S)} \int_M C_1(S) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

For any subsheaf S , its singular set Σ_S is the set of points where S fails to be locally free. If S is a saturated subsheaf then the singular set Σ_S is a closed complex analytic subset of M of complex codimension at least 2. A torsion-free Higgs subsheaf S is said ω -stable (resp. ω -semistable) if for all proper ϕ -invariant saturated subsheaves $F \subset S$, $\mu_\omega(F) < \mu_\omega(S)$ ($\mu_\omega(F) \leq \mu_\omega(S)$).

In the following, we will give a description of the appropriate Higgs bundle versions of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration and the Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration, the proof is almost the same as the one used in the holomorphic bundles case ([19], v.7.15, 7.17, 7.18; [17], section 7), the only difference is that we always consider ϕ -invariant subsheaves instead of general subsheaves. We omit the details here.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (E, A, ϕ) be a Higgs bundle on Kähler manifold (M, ω) . Then there is a filtration of E by ϕ -invariant coherent sub-sheaves*

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_l = E,$$

called the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of Higgs bundle (E, A, ϕ) (abbr, HN-filtration), such that $Q_i = E_i/E_{i-1}$ is torsion-free and Higgs semistable. Moreover, $\mu(Q_i) > \mu(Q_{i+1})$, and the associated graded object $Gr^{hn}(E, A, \phi) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l Q_i$ is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of (E, A, ϕ) .

Proposition 3.2. *Let (V, ϕ) be a semistable Higgs sheaf on Kähler manifold (M, ω) , then there is a filtration of V by ϕ -invariant subsheaf*

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_l = V,$$

called the Seshadri filtration of (V, ϕ) , such that V_i/V_{i-1} is torsion-free and Higgs stable. Moreover, $\mu(V_i/V_{i-1}) = \mu(V)$ for each i , and the associated graded object $Gr^s(V, \phi) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l V_i/V_{i-1}$ is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of (V, ϕ) .

Combining the two previous proposition, for a given Higgs bundle (E, A, ϕ) , there exists a double filtration, called the Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration of (E, A, ϕ) , which we abbreviate by HNS-filtration.

Proposition 3.3. Let (E, A, ϕ) be a Higgs bundle on Kähler manifold (M, ω) . Then there is a double filtration $\{E_{i,j}\}$ with the following properties: if $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^l$ is the HN filtration of (E, A, ϕ) , then

$$E_{i-1} = E_{i,0} \subset E_{i,1} \subset \cdots \subset E_{i,l_i} = E_i$$

and the successive quotient $Q_{i,j} = E_{i,j}/E_{i,j-1}$ are Higgs stable torsion-free sheaves. Moreover, $\mu(Q_{i,j}) = \mu(Q_{i,j+1})$ and $\mu(Q_{i,j}) > \mu(Q_{i+1,j})$, the associated graded object:

$$Gr^{hns}(E, A, \phi) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_i} Q_{i,j}$$

is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of (E, A, ϕ) .

The following lemma can be proved by an argument similar the one used in Chapter 5, V.7.11; 7.12 in [19] for the case of holomorphic bundles.

Lemma 3.4. (Lemma 6.3. in [23]) Let $(E_1, \bar{\partial}_{A_1}, \phi_1)$ and $(E_2, \bar{\partial}_{A_2}, \phi_2)$ be two semistable Higgs sheaves with same rank and degree. If $(E_1, \bar{\partial}_{A_1}, \phi_1)$ is Higgs stable, and let $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a sheaf homomorphism satisfying $f \circ \phi_1 = \phi_2 \circ f$, then either $f = 0$ or f is injective.

Definition 3.5. (Harder-Narasimhan type) For a Higgs bundle (E, A, ϕ) of rank R , construct a nonincreasing R -tuple of numbers

$$(3.2) \quad \vec{\mu}(E, A, \phi) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_R)$$

from the HN filtration by setting: $\mu_i = \mu(Q_j)$, for $rk(E_{j-1}) + 1 \leq i \leq rk(E_j)$. We call $\vec{\mu}(E, A, \phi)$ the Harder-Narasimhan type of (E, A, ϕ) .

Remark: For a pair $\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}$ of R -tuple's satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^R \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^R \lambda_i$, we define:

$$(3.3) \quad \vec{\mu} \leq \vec{\lambda} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{i \leq k} \mu_i \leq \sum_{i \leq k} \lambda_i$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, R$.

It will be convenient to denote the ϕ -invariant subsheaf E_i in the HN-filtration by $F_i^{hn}(E, A, \phi)$ or by $F_{i,\omega}^{hn}(E, A, \phi)$ when we wish to emphasize the role of the Kähler structure. Let $\{E_{i,j}\}$ be the HNS-filtration of the Higgs bundle (E, A, ϕ) , we set

$$(3.4) \quad \Sigma_{alg} = \bigcup_{i,j} Sing(E_{i,j}) \cup Sing(Q_{i,j}),$$

this is a complex analytic subset of complex codimension at least two. We will refer to it as the singular set of the HNS-filtration. Since the HNS-filtration fails to be given by subbundles on the singular set Σ_{alg} , it makes difficult to do analysis. We can use the singularities theorem of Hironaka ([15]) to resolve the singularities Σ_{alg} , and obtain a filtration by subbundles. This idea had been used by Bando and Siu [6] to obtain admissible Hermitian-Einstein metric on reflexive stable sheaf. The following proposition has been proved by Sibley in [28].

Proposition 3.6. (Proposition 4.3. in [28]) *Let $0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{l-1} \subset E_l = E$ be a filtration of a holomorphic vector bundle E on a complex manifold M by saturated subsheaves and let $Q_i = E_i/E_{i-1}$. Then there is a finite sequence of blowups along complex submanifolds of M whose composition $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ enjoys the following properties. There is a filtration*

$$0 = \tilde{E}_0 \subset \tilde{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{E}_{l-1} \subset \tilde{E}_l = \tilde{E}$$

by subbundles such that $\tilde{E}_i$ is the saturation of π^*E_i . If $\tilde{Q}_i = \tilde{E}_i/\tilde{E}_{i-1}$, then we have exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow \pi_*\tilde{E}_i \rightarrow T_i \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow Q_i \rightarrow \pi_*\tilde{Q}_i \rightarrow T'_i \rightarrow 0$$

where T_i and T'_i are torsion sheaves supported on the singular sets of E_i and Q_i respectively, and furthermore $\pi_*\tilde{E}_i = E_i$ and $Q_i^{**} = (\pi_*\tilde{Q}_i)^{**}$.

Let ϕ be a Higgs field on holomorphic bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_A)$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \pi^*\phi$ be the pull-back Higgs field on $\tilde{E}$. If the filtration $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^l$ is by ϕ -invariant subsheaves, then the pullback filtration $\{\tilde{E}_i\}_{i=1}^l$ in the above proposition is by $\tilde{\phi}$ -invariant subbundles. So, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\{E_{i,j}\}$ be the HNS-filtration of a Higgs bundle (E, A, ϕ) on complex manifold M and let $Q_{i,j} = E_{i,j}/E_{i,j-1}$. Then there is a finite sequence of blowups along complex submanifolds of M whose composition $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ enjoys the following properties. There is a filtration $\{\tilde{E}_{i,j}\}$ by $\tilde{\phi}$ -subbundles such that $\tilde{E}_{i,j}$ is the saturation of $\pi^*E_{i,j}$, and $\pi_*\tilde{E}_{i,j} = E_{i,j}$ and $Q_{i,j}^{**} = (\pi_*\tilde{Q}_{i,j})^{**}$, where $\tilde{\phi} = \pi^*\phi$.*

The following proposition is well known, the proof can be found in, for example [12].

Proposition 3.8. *Let (M, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold and $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a blow up with non-singular center. Then $\tilde{M}$ is also Kähler, moreover $\tilde{M}$ possesses a one family of Kähler metrics given by $\omega_\epsilon = \pi^*\omega + \epsilon\eta$ where $\epsilon > 0$ and η is Kähler metric on $\tilde{M}$.*

Furthermore, Bando and Siu ([6]) proved the uniform boundedness of heat kernel for ω_ϵ .

Proposition 3.9. (Proposition 2 in [6]) *Let (M, ω) be an n -dimensional compact Kähler manifold and $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a blow up with non-singular center of co-dimensional at least two. Fix a Kähler metric η on $\tilde{M}$ and set $\omega_\epsilon = \pi^*\omega + \epsilon\eta$ for $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. Let $K_\epsilon(t, x, y)$ be the heat kernel with respect to the metric ω_ϵ , then we have a uniform estimate $0 \leq K_\epsilon(t, \cdot, \cdot) \leq C(1 + t^{-n})$ with a positive constant C .*

In the following, we consider the ω_ϵ slope of an arbitrary coherent subsheaf of a holomorphic vector bundle $\tilde{E}$ on the blow up $\tilde{M}$. One can show that the ω_ϵ slope converges to the ω slope of the push forward sheaf on the base M , and the stability will be preserved for small ϵ . These properties should be well known, see Bando and Siu's paper [6], more details can be found in Sibley's paper [28].

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\tilde{S}$ be a coherent subsheaf (with torsion free quotient $\tilde{Q}$) of a holomorphic vector bundle $\tilde{E}$ on $\tilde{M}$, and $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a blow up with*

non-singular center of co-dimensional at least two. Then $\mu_{\omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \mu_\omega(\pi_*\tilde{S})$ and $\mu_{\omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \mu_\omega(\pi_*\tilde{Q})$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore there is a uniform constant B independent of S such that $\mu_{\omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{S}) \leq \mu_\omega(\pi_*\tilde{S}) + \epsilon B$ and $\mu_{\omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{Q}) \geq \mu_\omega(\pi_*\tilde{Q}) - \epsilon B$.

Proof. By the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \deg(\tilde{S}, \omega_\epsilon) &= \int_{\tilde{M}} c_1(\det(\tilde{S})) \wedge \frac{\omega_\epsilon^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
 (3.5) \quad &= \int_{\tilde{M}} c_1(\det(\tilde{S})) \wedge \frac{(\pi^*\omega)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \epsilon^i C_n^i \int_{\tilde{M}} c_1(\det(\tilde{S})) \wedge \eta^i \wedge (\pi^*\omega)^{n-1-i}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the blow up set is co-dimensional at least two, so

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\tilde{M}} c_1(\det(\tilde{S})) \wedge \frac{\omega_\epsilon^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
 (3.6) \quad &= \int_{\tilde{M}} c_1(\pi_*\det(\tilde{S})) \wedge \frac{\omega_\epsilon^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
 &= \int_{\tilde{M}} c_1(\det(\pi_*\tilde{S})) \wedge \frac{\omega_\epsilon^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
 &= \deg(\pi_*\tilde{S}, \omega),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the isomorphism $\det(\pi_*\tilde{S}) = \pi_*\det(\tilde{S})$. Then (3.5) and (3.6) imply $\mu_{\omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \mu_\omega(\pi_*\tilde{S})$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Let H be a Hermitian metric on $\tilde{E}$, we can view $\tilde{S}$ as a holomorphic sub-bundle off the singular set Σ which is co-dimensional at least two, away from Σ we has a corresponding orthogonal projection $\pi_{\tilde{S}} : \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ with $\pi_{\tilde{S}}(E) = \tilde{S}$. It is well known that $\pi_{\tilde{S}}$ can be extended to an L_1^2 section of $\text{End}E$. Using the Gauss-Codazzi equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad &\int_{\tilde{M}} c_1(\det(\tilde{S})) \wedge \eta^i \wedge (\pi^*\omega)^{n-1-i} \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{M}} \text{tr}(\pi_{\tilde{S}} \circ F_{A_H} \circ \pi_{\tilde{S}} + \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{E}}\pi_{\tilde{S}} \wedge \partial_{A_H}\pi_{\tilde{S}}) \wedge \eta^i \wedge (\pi^*\omega)^{n-1-i}
 \end{aligned}$$

where A_H is the Chern connection with respect to H . Since $\pi^*\omega$ is nonnegative, the second term in right hand side of the above equality is non-positive. Since the first term is uniform bound, we see that $\int_{\tilde{M}} c_1(\det(\tilde{S})) \wedge \eta^i \wedge (\pi^*\omega)^{n-1-i}$ has an uniform upper bound independent of $\tilde{S}$. By (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we know there is a constant B such that $\mu_{\omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{S}) \leq \mu_\omega(\pi_*\tilde{S}) + \epsilon B$. For the quotient sheaf $\tilde{Q}$, we can consider the dualised sequence $0 \rightarrow \tilde{Q}^* \rightarrow \tilde{E}^* \rightarrow \tilde{S}^* \rightarrow 0$, a similar argument as above implies the statements for Q in the proposition. $\square$

Remark. 3.11. If there is a sequence of blow-ups:

$$\pi_i : \overline{M}_i \rightarrow \overline{M}_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

and $\pi = \pi_r \circ \dots \circ \pi_1$, where $\overline{M}_0 = M$ and $\overline{M}_r = \tilde{M}$ and every π_i is blow up along a smooth complex submanifold of co-dimensional at least two. On each blow-up $\overline{M}_i$, we have a family of Kähler metrics defined iteratively by $\omega_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_i} = \pi_i^* \omega_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{i-1}} + \epsilon_i \eta_i$, where η_i is a Kähler metric on $\overline{M}_i$ and $\epsilon_i > 0$. For simplicity, in the following, we will denote $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r)$, $\omega_\epsilon = \omega_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_r}$, and $\|\epsilon\| = \max_i \epsilon_i$. It is easy to see that the above proposition is also valid for such π .

Proposition 3.12. Let $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a composition of finitely many blowups along complex submanifolds of co-dimensional at least two, $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\phi})$ be a Higgs bundle over $\tilde{M}$, and (E, ϕ) be a Higgs sheaf over M with $\pi_*\tilde{E} = E$, $\phi(\pi_*X) = \pi_*\tilde{\phi}(X)$

for any $X \in \tilde{E}$. If the Higgs sheaf (E, ϕ) is ω -stable, then there is a number $\epsilon_0 > 0$, such that the Higgs sheaf $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\phi})$ is ω_ϵ -stable for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$.

Proof. By the assumption that E is ω -stable, then there is constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu_\omega(E) - \mu_\omega(S) > \delta$ for any proper Higgs subsheaf S . By proposition 3.10, for any proper Higgs subsheaf $\tilde{S} \subset \tilde{E}$ we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mu_{\omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{S}) - \mu_{\omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{E}) \\ & \leq \mu_\omega(\pi_*(\tilde{S})) - \mu_\omega(E) + 2\|\epsilon\|B \\ & < -\delta + 2\|\epsilon\|B < 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $\|\epsilon\| < \frac{\delta}{2B}$, where we used that B is independent on $\tilde{S}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let (S, ϕ) be a Higgs sheaf on M , we define $\mu_{\max, \omega}(S)$ to be the maximum ω -slope of ϕ -invariant subsheaves of S , and $\mu_{\min, \omega}(S)$ to be the minimal ω -slope of ϕ -invariant torsion free quotient sheaves of S . It is easy to check that $\mu_{\min, \omega}(S) = -\mu_{\max, \omega}(S^*)$. By Proposition 3.10, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.13. *Let $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a composition of finitely many blowups along complex submanifolds of co-dimensional at least two, $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\phi})$ be a Higgs bundle over $\tilde{M}$, and (E, ϕ) be a Higgs sheaf over M with $\pi_*\tilde{E} = E$, $\phi(\pi_*X) = \pi_*\tilde{\phi}(X)$ for any $X \in \tilde{E}$. There is a constant $B > 0$ such that:*

- (1): $\mu_{\max, \omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{E}) \leq \mu_{\max, \omega}(E) + \|\epsilon\|B$;
- (2): $\mu_{\min, \omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{E}) \geq \mu_{\min, \omega}(E) - \|\epsilon\|B$.

Let the filtration

$$0 = \tilde{E}_0 \subset \tilde{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{E}_{l-1} \subset \tilde{E}_l = \tilde{E} = \pi^*E$$

be the resolution of the HN filtration of the Higgs bundle (E, ϕ) . By proposition, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \mu_{\omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{Q}_i) \rightarrow \mu_\omega(Q_i)$$

for all i as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Using the properties $\mu_\omega(Q_i) > \mu_\omega(Q_{i+1})$, $\mu_{\min, \omega}(E_i) = \mu_\omega(Q_i)$, $\mu_{\max, \omega}(E/E_i) = \mu_\omega(Q_{i+1})$, and Corollary 3.13., we have

$$(3.10) \quad \mu_{\min, \omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{E}_i) > \mu_{\max, \omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{E}/\tilde{E}_i).$$

By the above inequality, it is easy to see that the resolution appears within the HN filtration of the Higgs bundle $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\phi})$ with respect to ω_ϵ , and two successive Higgs bundles in the resolution are separated by the HN filtration of the larger Higgs bundle. By an inductive argument, repeatedly using proposition 3.10 one can show the convergence of the HN type, so we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.14. *Let (E, ϕ) be a Higgs bundle over M , $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a sequence of blowups resolving the HNS filtration and $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\phi}) = \pi^*(M, \phi)$ be the pull back Higgs bundle over $\tilde{M}$. Let $\tilde{\mu}_\epsilon$ denote the HN type of $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\phi})$ with respect to ω_ϵ and $\tilde{\mu}$ the HN type of (E, ϕ) with respect to ω , then $\tilde{\mu}_\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.*

4. EXISTENCE OF NON-ZERO HOLOMORPHIC MAP

In order to prove theorem 1.1, we need construct non-zero holomorphic maps from subsheaves in the HNS filtration of the original Higgs bundle to the limiting reflexive sheaf. For bundle case, we can follow Donaldson's argument in [10] to construct non-zero holomorphic maps. But in general the HNS filtration is given by subsheaves, so Donaldson's argument can not be applied directly in our case. The following proposition is the key to construct an isomorphism between $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$ and the double dual of the stable quotients of the HNS filtration $Gr^{HNS}(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold, (E, A_0, ϕ_0) be a Higgs sheaf on M with Hermitian metric H_0 , S be a Higgs sub-sheaf of (E, A_0, ϕ_0) , and $(A_j, \phi_j) = g_j(A_0, \phi_0)$ be a sequence of Higgs pairs on E , where g_j is a sequence of complex gauge transformations. Suppose that there exists a sequence of blow-ups: $\pi_i : \bar{M}_i \rightarrow \bar{M}_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ (where $\bar{M}_0 = M$, every π_i is a blow up with non-singular center; denoting $\pi = \pi_r \circ \dots \circ \pi_1$); such that π^*E and π^*S are bundles, the pulling back geometric objects $\pi^*(A_0, \phi_0)$, π^*g_j and π^*H_0 can be extended smoothly on the whole M_r . Assume that (A_j, ϕ_j) converges to (A_∞, ϕ_∞) outside a closed subset Σ_{An} of Hausdorff complex codimension 2, and $|\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j})|_{H_0}$ is bounded uniformly in j in $L^1(\omega_0)$. Let $i_0 : (S, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}) \rightarrow (E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0})$ be the holomorphic inclusion, then there is a subsequence of $g_j \circ i_0$, up to rescale, converges to a non-zero holomorphic map $f_\infty : (S, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}) \rightarrow (E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty})$ in C_{loc}^∞ off $\Sigma \cup \Sigma_{An}$, and $f_\infty \circ \phi_0 = \phi_\infty \circ f_\infty$, where Σ is the singular set of S and E .*

Proof. On each blow-up $\bar{M}_i$, we have a family of Kähler metrics defined iteratively by $\omega_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_i} = \pi_i^* \omega_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{i-1}} + \epsilon_i \eta_i$, where η_i is a Kähler metric on $\bar{M}_i$. For simplicity, we write $\omega_\epsilon = \omega_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r}$, $\tilde{E} = \pi^*E$. In the following, we denote geometric objects and their pulling back by the same notation, and $\tilde{H}_0 = \pi^*H_0$.

Define the map $\tilde{\eta}_j : (\tilde{S}, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}) \rightarrow (\tilde{E}, \bar{\partial}_{A_j})$ by $\tilde{\eta}_j = g_j \circ i_0$. It is easy to check that

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{\partial}_{A_0, A_j} \tilde{\eta}_j = 0, \quad \tilde{\eta}_j \circ \phi_0 = \phi_j \circ \tilde{\eta}_j,$$

i.e. $\tilde{\eta}_j$ is a ϕ -invariant holomorphic map. For simplicity, we will denote $\bar{\partial}_{A_0, A_j}$ by $\bar{\partial}_{0, j}$, and the trace Laplacian operator on the section of $S^* \otimes E$ with respect to the connection $A_0 \otimes A_j$ by $\Delta_{0, j}$.

Let $H_{j, \epsilon}(t)$ and $H_\epsilon^S(t)$ be the solutions of Donaldson's flow on holomorphic bundles $(\tilde{E}, \bar{\partial}_{A_j})$ and $(\tilde{S}, \bar{\partial}_{A_0})$ with the fixed initial metrics $\tilde{H}_0$ and H_0^S and with respect to the metric ω_ϵ , i.e. it satisfies the following heat equation

$$(4.2) \quad H^{-1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -2\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_H.$$

By the heat flow, we have

$$(4.3) \quad (\Delta_\epsilon - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}(F_{H_{j, \epsilon}(t)})|_{H_{j, \epsilon}(t)} \geq 0,$$

The maximum principal implies that, for $t > 0$,

$$(4.4) \quad |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}(F_{H_{j, \epsilon}(t)})|_{H_{j, \epsilon}(t)}(x) \leq \int_{\bar{M}} K_\epsilon(t, x, y) |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}(F_{\tilde{A}_j})|_{\tilde{H}_0} \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!},$$

where $K_\epsilon(t, x, y)$ is the heat kernel of the Laplacian with respect to ω_ϵ . On the other hand, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_\epsilon |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H_\epsilon^S(t), H_{j,\epsilon}(t)}^2 &= 2|\partial_{H_\epsilon^S(t), H_{j,\epsilon}(t)} \tilde{\eta}_j|^2 \\ -2 &< \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{H_{j,\epsilon}(t)} \circ \tilde{\eta}_j, \eta_j > + 2 < \tilde{\eta}_j \circ \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{H_\epsilon^S(t)}, \eta_j >, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H_\epsilon^S(t), H_{j,\epsilon}(t)}^2 &= \\ -2 &< \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{H_{j,\epsilon}(t)} \circ \tilde{\eta}_j, \eta_j > + 2 < \tilde{\eta}_j \circ \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{H_\epsilon^S(t)}, \eta_j >, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$(4.7) \quad (\Delta_\epsilon - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H_\epsilon^S(t), H_{j,\epsilon}(t)}^2 \geq 0.$$

Using the Maximum principle again, we have

$$(4.8) \quad |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H_\epsilon^S(t_0+t), H_{j,\epsilon}(t_0+t)}^2(x) \leq \int_{\tilde{M}} K_\epsilon(t, x, y) |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H_\epsilon^S(t_0), H_{j,\epsilon}(t_0)}^2 \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!},$$

for any $t_0 \geq 0$ and $t > 0$.

By [6] (Lemma 4), for fixed $\epsilon' = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{r-1})$ the heat kernel $K_\epsilon(t, x, y)$ has a uniform bound for $0 < \epsilon_r \leq 1$. It is easy to see that K_ϵ converges to the heat kernel $K_{\epsilon'}$ on M_{r-1} outside the exceptional divisor as $\epsilon_r \rightarrow 0$. Bando and Siu ([6]) had shown that we could choose a subsequence of $H_{j,\epsilon}(t)$ (and the same for $H_\epsilon^S(t)$) which converges to a solution of the Donaldson's heat flow (4.2) on M_{r-1} as ϵ_r tends to 0. Then we have

$$(4.9) \quad |\Lambda_{\omega_{\epsilon'}}(F_{H_{j,\epsilon'}(t)})|_{H_{j,\epsilon'}(t)}(x) \leq \int_{M_{r-1}} K_{\epsilon'}(t, x, y) |\Lambda_{\omega_{\epsilon'}}(F_{\tilde{A}_j})|_{\tilde{H}_0} \frac{\omega_{\epsilon'}^n}{n!},$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H_{\epsilon'}^S(t_0+t), H_{j,\epsilon'}(t_0+t)}^2(x) \leq \int_{M_{r-1}} K_{\epsilon'}(t, x, y) |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H_{\epsilon'}^S(t_0), H_{j,\epsilon'}(t_0)}^2 \frac{\omega_{\epsilon'}^n}{n!},$$

for all x outside the exceptional set.

Taking the limit $\epsilon_{r-1} \rightarrow 0$, and repeating the argument, we have a solution of the heat flow (4.2) $H_j(t)$ (and $H^S(t)$) on M . We also have

$$(4.11) \quad |\Lambda_\omega(F_{H_j(t)})|_{H_j(t)}(x) \leq \int_M K(t, x, y) |\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j})|_{H_0} \frac{\omega^n}{n!},$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H^S(t_0+t), H_j(t_0+t)}^2(x) \leq \int_M K(t, x, y) |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H^S(t_0), H_j(t_0)}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!},$$

for all x outside Σ , where $K(t, x, y)$ is the heat kernel of (M, ω) . Using $K(t, x, y) \leq C_K(1 + \frac{1}{t^n})$, and the uniform L^1 bound in the assumptions, we have a uniform constant C_F which is independent on j such that

$$(4.13) \quad 2(|\Lambda_\omega(F_{H_j(t)})|_{H_j(t)} + |\Lambda_\omega(F_{H^S(t)})|_{H^S(t)})(x) \leq C_F$$

for all $x \in M \setminus \Sigma$ and $t \geq t_0 > 0$.

By (4.6) and (4.13), we have

$$(4.14) \quad -C_F \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H^S(t), H_j(t)}^2(x) \leq C_F,$$

for all $x \in M \setminus \Sigma$ and $t \geq t_0 > 0$. Then

$$(4.15) \quad e^{-C_F \delta} \leq \frac{|\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H^S(t_0+\delta), H_j(t_0+\delta)}^2}{|\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H^S(t_0), H_j(t_0)}^2}(x) \leq e^{C_F \delta},$$

and

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H^S(t_0), H_j(t_0)}^2(x) &\leq e^{C_F \delta} |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H^S(t_0+\delta), H_j(t_0+\delta)}^2(x) \\ &\leq e^{C_F \delta} \int_M K(t, x, y) |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H^S(t_0), H_j(t_0)}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &\leq C_K e^{C_F \delta} (1 + \delta^{-n}) \int_M |\tilde{\eta}_j|_{H^S(t_0), H_j(t_0)}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $h_{j,\epsilon}(t) = \tilde{H}_0^{-1} H_{j,\epsilon}(t)$, it is easy to check that

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\Delta_\epsilon - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \ln(tr(h_{j,\epsilon}(t)) + tr(h_{j,\epsilon}(t)^{-1})) \\ &\geq -2|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}(F_{A_j})|_{\tilde{H}_0}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality, we have

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\tilde{M}} \ln(tr(h_{j,\epsilon}(t)) + tr(h_{j,\epsilon}(t)^{-1})) \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} - \ln 2rank(\tilde{E})Vol(\omega_\epsilon) \\ &\leq 2t \int_{\tilde{M}} |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}(F_{A_j})|_{\tilde{H}_0} \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the result of Bando and Siu in [6], by choosing a subsequence, we know that $H_{j,\epsilon}$ converges to a solution H_j of the heat flow (4.2) on $M \setminus \Sigma$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_M \ln(tr(h_j(t)) + tr(h_j(t)^{-1})) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} - \ln 2rank(\tilde{E})Vol(\omega) \\ &\leq 2t \int_M |\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j})|_{H_0} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Delta \ln(tr(h_j(t)) + tr(h_j(t)^{-1})) \\ &\geq -2|\Lambda_\omega(F_{H_j(t)})|_{H_j(t)} - 2|\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j})|_{H_0} \end{aligned}$$

on $M \setminus \Sigma$, for all $t > 0$.

For any compact subset $\Omega \subset M \setminus (\Sigma \cup \Sigma_{A_n})$, setting $d(\Omega) = \inf\{\rho(x, y) | x \in \Omega, y \in \Sigma \cup \Sigma_{A_n}\} > 0$, where ρ is the distance function on (M, ω) . Let $B = \cup_{y \in \Sigma \cup \Sigma_{A_n}} B_y(\frac{1}{2}d(\Omega))$ and $\Omega' = \tilde{M} - B$, then we choose the cut-off function φ such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ on Ω , $\varphi \equiv 0$ on B , and $|d\varphi|_\omega \leq \frac{4}{d(\Omega)}$. By the assumption, we know that A_j are locally bounded in C^∞ outside Σ_{A_n} , so we have

$$(4.21) \quad |\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j})|_{H_0} \leq C_c$$

on Ω' , where C_c is a constant independent of j . Using (4.19), (4.20), (4.13), (4.21), the cut-off function φ and the Moser's iteration, we have

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup_{x \in \Omega} \ln(tr(h_j(1)) + tr(h_j(1)^{-1})) \\ &\leq C_d \int_M \ln(tr(h_j(1)) + tr(h_j(1)^{-1})) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &\leq C_e, \end{aligned}$$

where C_d, C_e are constants independent of j . In a similar way, we have locally C^0 bound on metrics $H^S(1)$, i.e. for any compact subset Ω , there exists a constant C_f such that

$$(4.23) \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega} \ln(tr((H_0^S)^{-1}(H^S(1))) + tr((H^S(1))^{-1}H_0^S)) \leq C_f.$$

By (4.16) and rescale η_j , we have a sequence ϕ -invariant $\bar{\partial}_{0,j}$ -holomorphic map f_j such that

$$(4.24) \quad |f_j|_{H^S(1), H_j(1)}^2 \leq C_a, \quad \int_M |f_j|_{H^S(1), H_j(1)}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = 1.$$

For any compact subset $\Omega \subset M \setminus (\Sigma \cup \Sigma_{A_n})$, by (4.24) and the above uniform locally C^0 bound on $H_j(1)$ and $H^S(1)$ (i.e. (4.22) and (4.23)), we have

$$(4.25) \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f_j|_{H_0^S, H_0}^2(x) \leq C(\Omega),$$

where $C(\Omega)$ is a constant independent of j .

Since f_j is $\bar{\partial}_{0,j}$ -holomorphic, we have

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{0,j} f_j &= \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (\partial_{0,j} \bar{\partial}_{0,j} - \bar{\partial}_{0,j} \partial_{0,j}) f_j \\ &= -\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (\partial_{0,j} \bar{\partial}_{0,j} + \bar{\partial}_{0,j} \partial_{0,j}) f_j \\ &= -\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_{A_j} f_j - f_j F_{A_0}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.27) \quad \bar{\partial}_{0,\infty} f_j = \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty} \circ f_j - f_j \circ \bar{\partial}_{A_0} = -\beta_j^{0,1} \circ f_j,$$

where $\beta_j = A_j - A_\infty$.

By the above uniform locally C^0 bound of f_j (i.e. (4.25)) and the assumption that $A_j \rightarrow A_\infty$ in C_{loc}^∞ topology outside Σ_{A_n} , the elliptic theory implies that there exists a subsequence of f_j (for simplicity, also denoted by f_j) such that $f_j \rightarrow f_\infty$ in C_{loc}^∞ topology outside $\Sigma \cup \Sigma_{A_n}$, and

$$(4.28) \quad \bar{\partial}_{A_0, A_\infty} f_\infty = 0, \quad f_\infty \circ \phi_0 = \phi_\infty \circ f_\infty.$$

Since $\Sigma \cup \Sigma_{A_n}$ is Hausdorff codimension at least 2, for any small $\delta > 0$, we can choose a compact subset $\Omega_\delta \subset M \setminus (\Sigma \cup \Sigma_{A_n})$ such that

$$(4.29) \quad \int_{M \setminus \Omega_\delta} 1 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \delta.$$

From (4.24) and (4.29), we have

$$(4.30) \quad \int_{\Omega_\delta} |f_j|_{H^S(1), H_j(1)}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \geq 1 - \delta C_a.$$

Using the above uniform locally C^0 bound on $H_j(1)$ and $H^S(1)$ ((4.22) and (4.23)) again, we have a positive constant $\tilde{C}(\Omega_\delta)$ such that,

$$(4.31) \quad \int_{\Omega_\delta} |f_j|_{H_0^S, H_0}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \geq \tilde{C}(\Omega_\delta) (1 - \delta C_a) > 0,$$

for every j . Then, we get $\int_{\Omega_\delta} |f_\infty|_{H_0^S, H_0}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} > 0$, and so f_∞ is a non-zero holomorphic map.

□

5. THE HN TYPE OF THE UHLENBECK LIMIT

Let (A_t, ϕ_t) be a smooth solution of the gradient heat flow (1.4) over a Kähler manifold (M, ω) with initial data (A_0, ϕ_0) , and let (A_∞, ϕ_∞) be an Uhlenbeck limit. From Theorem 2.7., we know that (A_∞, ϕ_∞) is a smooth Yang-Mills Higgs pair on Hermitian bundle (E_∞, H_∞) over $M \setminus \Sigma_{an}$, and $\theta(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ is parallel, then the constant eigenvalues vector $\vec{\lambda}_\infty = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_R)$ of $\sqrt{-1}\theta(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ is just the HN type of the extended Uhlenbeck limit Higgs sheaf $(E_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$. Let $\vec{\mu}$ be the HN type of the initial Higgs bundle (E, A_0, ϕ_0) . In this section, we will prove that $\vec{\lambda}_\infty = \vec{\mu}$.

Let $\mathfrak{u}(R)$ denote the Lie algebra of the unitary group $U(R)$. Fix a real number $\alpha \geq 1$, for any $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{u}(R)$, let $\varphi_\alpha(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{j=1}^R |\lambda_j|^\alpha$, where $\sqrt{-1}\lambda_j$ are eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{a}$. It is easy to see that we can find a family $\varphi_{\alpha, \rho}$ of smooth convex ad-invariant functions $0 < \rho \leq 1$, such that $\varphi_{\alpha, \rho} \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathfrak{u}(R)$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. Hence, from [1] (Prop.12.16) it follows that φ_α is a convex function on $\mathfrak{u}(R)$. For a given real number N , define the Hermitian-Yang-Mills type functionals as follows:

$$(5.1) \quad HYM_{\alpha, N}(A, \phi) = \int_M \varphi_\alpha(\theta(A, \phi) - \sqrt{-1}N Id_E) \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

In the following we assume that $Vol(M, \omega) = 1$, and set $HYM_{\alpha, N}(\vec{\mu}) = HYM_\alpha(\vec{\mu} + N) = \varphi_\alpha(\sqrt{-1}((\vec{\mu} + N)))$, where $\vec{\mu} + N = \text{diag}(\mu_1 + N, \dots, \mu_R + N)$. We need the following two lemmas, the proofs can be found in [9] (Lemma 2.23 ; Prop.2.24).

Lemma 5.1. *The functional $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto (\int_M \varphi_\alpha(\mathfrak{a}) d\text{vol})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, defines a norm on $L^\alpha(\mathfrak{u}(E))$ which is equivalent to the L^α norm.*

Lemma 5.2. (1) *If $\vec{\mu} \leq \vec{\lambda}$, then $\varphi_\alpha(\sqrt{-1}\vec{\mu}) \leq \varphi_\alpha(\sqrt{-1}\vec{\lambda})$ for all $\alpha \geq 1$.*

(2) *Assume $\mu_R \geq 0$ and $\lambda_R \geq 0$. If $\varphi_\alpha(\sqrt{-1}\vec{\mu}) = \varphi_\alpha(\sqrt{-1}\vec{\lambda})$ for all α in some set $S \subset [1, \infty)$ possessing a limit point, then $\vec{\mu} = \vec{\lambda}$.*

For any smooth convex ad-invariant functions φ , we have

$$(5.2) \quad (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})\varphi(\theta(A_t, \phi_t) - \sqrt{-1}N Id_E) \geq 0,$$

whose proof can be found in [23] (Section two). Since we can approximate φ_α by smooth convex ad-invariant functions $\varphi_{\alpha, \rho} \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha$, by (5.2) we know that $t \mapsto HYM_{\alpha, N}(A_t, \phi_t)$ is nonincreasing along the flow. By Corollary 2.8., we can choose a sequence $t_j \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$(5.3) \quad HYM_{\alpha, N}(A_{t_j}, \phi_{t_j}) \rightarrow HYM_{\alpha, N}(A_\infty, \phi_\infty).$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *Let (A_t, ϕ_t) be a solution of the gradient flow (1.4) and (A_∞, ϕ_∞) be a subsequential Uhlenbeck limit of (A_t, ϕ_t) . Then for any $\alpha \geq 1$ and any N , $t \mapsto HYM_{\alpha, N}(A_t, \phi_t)$ is nonincreasing, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} HYM_{\alpha, N}(A_t, \phi_t) = HYM_{\alpha, N}(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$.*

Lemma 5.4 *Let $(A_j, \phi_j) = g_j(A_0, \phi_0)$ be a sequence of complex gauge equivalent Higgs pairs on a complex vector bundle E of rank R with Hermitian metric H_0 . Let S be a coherent ϕ_0 -invariant subsheaf of (E, A_0) . Suppose that $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j} +$*

$[\phi_j, \phi_j^*] \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ in L^1 as $j \rightarrow \infty$, where $\mathbf{a} \in L^1(\sqrt{-1}\mathbf{u}(E))$, and that eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_R$ of $\frac{1}{2\pi}\mathbf{a}$ are constant almost everywhere. Then: $\deg(S) \leq \sum_{i \leq \text{rank}(S)} \lambda_i$.

Proof. Since $\deg(S) \leq \deg(\text{Sat}_E(S))$, we may assume that S is saturated. Let π_j denote the orthogonal projection onto $g_j(S)$ with respect to the Hermitian metric H_0 . It is well known that π_j are L_1^2 sections of the smooth endomorphism bundle of E , and satisfy $\pi_j^2 = \pi_j = \pi_j^*$, $(Id - \pi_j)\bar{\partial}_{A_j}\pi_j = 0$ and $(Id - \pi_j)\phi_j\pi_j = 0$ (since $g_j(S)$ are ϕ_j -invariant). By the usual degree formula (see Lemma 3.2 in [29]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(S) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M (\text{Tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j} + [\phi_j, \phi_j^*])\pi_j) - |\bar{\partial}_{A_j + \phi_j}\pi_j|^2) \\ (5.4) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M (\text{Tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j} + [\phi_j, \phi_j^*])\pi_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{a}\pi_j) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M (\text{Tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega((F_{A_j} + [\phi_j, \phi_j^*]) - \mathbf{a})\pi_j)). \end{aligned}$$

By a result from linear algebra (Lemma 2.20 in [9]), we have $\frac{1}{2\pi}\text{Tr}(\mathbf{a}\pi_j) \leq \sum_{i \leq \text{rank}(S)} \lambda_i$. So, we have $\deg(S) \leq \sum_{i \leq \text{rank}(S)} \lambda_i + \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j} + [\phi_j, \phi_j^*]) - \mathbf{a}\|_{L^1}$. Let $j \rightarrow \infty$, this completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Let $\vec{\mu}_0 = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_R)$ be the HN type of Higgs bundle (E, A_0, ϕ_0) , by (2.4) in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^R \mu_\alpha = \deg(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}) = \deg(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^R \lambda_\alpha.$$

Let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^l$ be the HN filtration of the Higgs bundle (E, A_0, ϕ_0) . Using Corollary 2.8. and Lemma 5.4., we have:

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{\alpha \leq \text{rank } E_i} \mu_\alpha = \deg(E_i) \leq \sum_{\alpha \leq \text{rank } E_i} \lambda_\alpha$$

for all i . By Lemma 2.3 in [9], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. *Let (A_i, ϕ_i) be a sequence of Higgs pairs along the gradient heat flow (1.6) with Uhlenbeck limit (A_∞, ϕ_∞) . Let $\vec{\mu}_0 = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_R)$ be the HN type of Higgs bundle (E, A_0, ϕ_0) , and let $\vec{\lambda}_\infty = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_R)$ be the type of Higgs bundle $(E_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$. Then $\vec{\mu}_0 \leq \vec{\lambda}_\infty$.*

Let H be a smooth Hermitian metric on the holomorphic bundle $\mathbf{E} = (E, \bar{\partial}_E)$, and let $F = \{F_i\}_{i=1}^l$ be a filtration of E by saturated subsheaves: $0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_{l-1} \subset F_l = \mathbf{E}$. Associated to each F_i and the metric H we have the unitary projection π_i^H onto F_i . It is well known that π_i^H are bounded L_1^2 Hermitian endomorphisms. For convenience, we set $\pi_0^H = 0$. Given real numbers $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l$ and a filtration F , we define a bounded L_1^2 Hermitian endomorphism of $\mathbf{E}$ by $\Psi(F, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), H) = \sum_{i=1}^l \mu_i (\pi_i^H - \pi_{i-1}^H)$. Given a Hermitian metric on a Higgs bundle $(\mathbf{E}, \phi)$, the Harder-Narasimhan projection, $\Psi_\omega^{HN}(\mathbf{E}, \phi, H)$ is the bounded L_1^2 Hermitian endomorphism defined above in the particular case where F is the HN filtration $F_i = \mathbf{F}_i^{hn}(E)$ and $\mu_i = \mu(F_i/F_{i-1})$.

Definition 5.6. Fix $\delta > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. An L^p - δ -approximate critical Hermitian metric on a Higgs bundle $(\mathbf{E}, \phi)$ is a smooth H such that

$$\left\| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_\omega(F_{A_H} + [\phi, \phi^{*H}]) - \Psi^{HN}(\mathbf{E}, \phi, H) \right\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq \delta,$$

where A_H is the Chern connection determined by $(\bar{\partial}_E, H)$.

Proposition 5.7. Let $(\mathbf{E}, \phi)$ be a Higgs bundle on a smooth Kähler manifold (M, ω) , and let $F = \{F_i\}_{i=1}^l$ be a filtration of E by saturated subsheaves, where every F_i is ϕ invariant. Let $\pi : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ be a blow-up along a smooth complex manifold Σ of complex co-dimensional at least 2, $\bar{\mathbf{E}} = \pi^* \mathbf{E}$ be the pull-back bundle and $\bar{\phi} = \pi^* \phi$. Let η be a Kähler metric on $\bar{M}$, and set a family of Kähler metrics $\omega_\epsilon = \pi^* \omega + \epsilon \eta$. Suppose that the filtration $\bar{F} = \{\bar{F}_i\}_{i=1}^l = \{\text{Sat}_{\bar{E}}(\pi^* F_i)\}_{i=1}^l$ of $\bar{\mathbf{E}}$ is given by subbundles, and every quotient $\bar{Q}_i = \bar{F}_i / \bar{F}_{i-1}$ is $\bar{\phi}$ -Higgs ω_ϵ -stable for $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*$. Then for any $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ and any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*$, there is a smooth Hermitian metric $\bar{H}$ on $\bar{\mathbf{E}}$ such that

$$(5.7) \quad \left\| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}(F_{(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}, \bar{H})} + [\bar{\phi}, \bar{\phi}^{*\bar{H}}]) - \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon,1}, \dots, \mu_{\epsilon,l}), \bar{H}) \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \tilde{\delta},$$

where $(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}, \bar{H})$ denotes the Chern connection with respect to holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}$ and metric $\bar{H}$, and $\mu_{\epsilon,i}$ is the slope of quotient $\bar{Q}_i$ with respect to the metric ω_ϵ .

Proof. Let $\bar{\phi}_i$ be the induced Higgs field on the quotient $\bar{Q}_i$. Since Higgs bundles $(\bar{Q}_i, \bar{\phi}_i)$ are ω_ϵ -stable for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon^*$, by Simpson's result (Theorem 1 in [29]), we have a Hermitian-Einstein metric $\bar{H}_{i,\epsilon}$ on the Higgs bundle $(\bar{Q}_i, \bar{\phi}_i)$ with respect to ω_ϵ . In particular:

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}(F_{(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{Q}_i}, \bar{H}_{i,\epsilon})} + [\bar{\phi}_i, \bar{\phi}_i^{*}]) - \mu_\epsilon(\bar{Q}_i) \text{Id}_{\bar{Q}_i} = 0.$$

We will use Donaldson's argument in [11]. Recall that $\bar{E}$ and $\oplus_i \bar{Q}_i$ are isomorphic vector bundle, and take the direct sum $\bar{H}_\epsilon = \oplus_i \bar{H}_{i,\epsilon}$. By the equivalence of holomorphic structures and integrable unitary connections, we see that it suffices to show that for a fixed Hermitian metric $\bar{H}$ there is a smooth complex gauge transformation σ preserving the filtration $\bar{F}$ such that

$$(5.9) \quad \left\| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}(F_{(\sigma(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}), \bar{H})} + [\sigma(\bar{\phi}), \sigma(\bar{\phi})^{*\bar{H}}]) - \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon,1}, \dots, \mu_{\epsilon,l}), \bar{H}) \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta,$$

We only consider the case $l = 2$, the other case $l > 2$ can be solved by inductive argument. We can write the holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}$ and the Higgs field $\bar{\phi}$ as

$$(5.10) \quad \bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{Q}_1} & B \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\bar{Q}_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}_1 & \zeta \\ 0 & \bar{\phi}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where B is the second fundamental form. Define the complex gauge transformation σ_t to be the following block diagonal matrix

$$(5.11) \quad \sigma_t = \begin{pmatrix} t \text{Id}_{\bar{Q}_1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \text{Id}_{\bar{Q}_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have

$$(5.12) \quad \sigma_t(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{Q}_1} & t^2 B \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\bar{Q}_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_t(\bar{\phi}) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}_1 & t^2 \zeta \\ 0 & \bar{\phi}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(5.13) \quad F_{(\sigma_t(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}), \bar{H}_\epsilon)} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{Q}_1}, \bar{H}_{1,\epsilon})} + t^4 B \wedge B^* & t^2 \partial_H B \\ t^2 \bar{\partial} B^* & F_{(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{Q}_2}, \bar{H}_{2,\epsilon})} + t^4 B^* \wedge B \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(5.14) \quad [\sigma_t(\bar{\phi}), \sigma_t(\bar{\phi})^*] = \begin{pmatrix} [\bar{\phi}_1, \bar{\phi}_1^*] + t^4 \zeta \wedge \zeta^* & t^2 (\zeta \wedge \bar{\phi}_2^* + \bar{\phi}_1^* \wedge \zeta) \\ t^2 (\zeta^* \wedge \bar{\phi}_1 + \bar{\phi}_2 \wedge \zeta^*) & [\bar{\phi}_2, \bar{\phi}_2^*] + t^4 \zeta^* \wedge \zeta \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can also write $\Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon,1}, \mu_{\epsilon,2}), \bar{H}_\epsilon)$ as follows

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\epsilon,1} Id_{\bar{Q}_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{\epsilon,2} Id_{\bar{Q}_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, it is easy to see that

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} (F_{(\sigma_t(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}), \bar{H}_\epsilon)} + [\sigma_t(\bar{\phi}), \sigma_t(\bar{\phi})^* \bar{H}_\epsilon]) - \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon,1}, \mu_{\epsilon,2}), \bar{H}_\epsilon) \right|_{\bar{H}_\epsilon} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} (F_{(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{Q}_i}, \bar{H}_{i,\epsilon})} + [\bar{\phi}_i, \bar{\phi}_i^*]) - \mu_\epsilon(\bar{Q}_i) Id_{\bar{Q}_i} \right|_{\bar{H}_{i,\epsilon}} \\ & \quad + f(t, B), \end{aligned}$$

where $f(t, B) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Let $\bar{H}_t = t^2 \bar{H}_{1,\epsilon} \oplus t^{-2} \bar{H}_{2,\epsilon}$, then $\sigma_t^* \bar{H}_\epsilon \circ \sigma_t = \bar{H}_\epsilon^{-1} \bar{H}_t$. On the other hand, we have

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} (F_{(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}, \bar{H}_t)} + [\bar{\phi}, \bar{\phi}^* \bar{H}_t]) - \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon,1}, \mu_{\epsilon,2}), \bar{H}_t) \right|_{\bar{H}_t} \\ & = \left| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} (F_{(\sigma_t(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}), \bar{H}_\epsilon)} + [\sigma_t(\bar{\phi}), \sigma_t(\bar{\phi})^* \bar{H}_\epsilon]) - \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon,1}, \mu_{\epsilon,2}), \bar{H}_\epsilon) \right|_{\bar{H}_\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Choosing t small enough, we obtain a metric $\bar{H}$ which satisfies (5.7). $\square$

The following lemma was proved by Sibley in [28] (Lemma 5.3.), we give a proof for reader's convenience.

Lemma 5.8. *Let (M, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n , and $\pi : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ be a blow-up along a smooth complex sub-manifold Σ of complex co-dimension k where $k \geq 2$. Let η be a Kähler metric on $\bar{M}$, and consider the family of Kähler metrics $\omega_\epsilon = \pi^* \omega + \epsilon \eta$. Then for any $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{k-1}$, we have $\frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \in L^\gamma(\bar{M}, \eta)$, and the $L^\gamma(\bar{M}, \eta)$ -norm of $\frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n}$ is uniformly bounded in ϵ , i.e. there is a positive constant C^* such that*

$$(5.18) \quad \int_{\bar{M}} \left(\frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right)^\gamma \frac{\eta^n}{n!} \leq C^*$$

for all ϵ .

Proof. Since $\pi^* \omega$ is only degenerated along the exceptional divisor $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$, on the complement of a neighborhood of $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ there is a constant C such that $C^{-1} \eta \leq \pi^* \omega \leq C \eta$. So, it suffices to prove the result in a neighborhood of $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$. One can choose a local coordinate chart U with coordinates $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$, such that locally Σ is given by the slice $\{z_1 = \dots = z_k = 0\}$. On the blow-up $\bar{M}$ we have local coordinate charts $\bar{U}_i \subset \pi^{-1}(U)$ where $\bar{U}_i = \{z \in U \setminus \Sigma \mid z_i \neq$

$0\} \cup \{(z, [v]) \in \mathbf{CP}(\Sigma) |_{U \cap \Sigma} | v_i \neq 0\}$, where $\mathbf{CP}(\Sigma)$ is the projective bundle of the normal bundle of Σ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ denote local coordinates on $\overline{U}_i$, then the map $\pi : \overline{M} \rightarrow M$ is given by:

$$(w_1, \dots, w_n) \rightarrow (w_1 w_i, \dots, w_{i-1} w_i, w_i, w_{i+1} w_i, \dots, w_k w_i, w_{k+1} \dots, w_n).$$

Set $\omega = \sqrt{-1} g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$, then

$$(5.19) \quad \pi^* \omega^n = \pi^*(\det g_{i\bar{j}}) |w_i|^{2k-2} (\sqrt{-1})^n dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dw_n \wedge d\bar{w}_n.$$

Note that $\pi^*(\det g_{i\bar{j}})$ is positive and $\pi^* \omega^n < \omega_\epsilon$, then we have

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{U}_i} \left(\frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right)^\gamma \frac{\eta^n}{n!} \\ & \leq \int_{\overline{U}_i} \left(\frac{\eta^n}{(\pi^* \omega)^n} \right)^\gamma \frac{\eta^n}{n!} \\ & \leq C \int_{\overline{U}_i} |w_i|^{-(2k-2)\gamma} (\sqrt{-1})^n dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dw_n \wedge d\bar{w}_n, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of ϵ . By the condition we have $-(2k-2)\gamma > -2$, and then we see the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\pi : \overline{M} \rightarrow M$, the complex submanifold Σ and the family of metrics ω_ϵ be the same as in the previous lemma. Then, for any $1 < \alpha < 1 + \frac{1}{2k-1}$, $\frac{\alpha}{1-(k-1)(\alpha-1)} < \tilde{\alpha}$, and any neighborhood U of the exceptional divisor $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$, there exists a positive constant C independent of ϵ, ϵ_1 and U , and a positive constant $C(U)$ depending only on U, α , metric η , and $\pi^* \omega$, such that for any $\text{End}(E)$ -valued $(1, 1)$ form F*

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F\|_{L^\alpha(\overline{M}, \omega_\epsilon)} \leq |\epsilon_1 - \epsilon| C(U) \|F\|_{L^\alpha(\overline{M}, \omega_{\epsilon_1})} \\ & + C(\|\Lambda_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}} F\|_{L^{\tilde{\alpha}}(\overline{M}, \omega_{\epsilon_1})} + (\text{Vol}(U, \omega_{\epsilon_1}))^{C(\alpha, k)} \|F\|_{L^2(\overline{M}, \omega_{\epsilon_1})}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1 \leq 1$, where $C(\alpha, k)$ is a positive constant depending only on α and k .

Proof. By the definition, we know that

$$(5.22) \quad \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F = \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} (\Lambda_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}} F + n \frac{F \wedge (\omega_\epsilon^{n-1} - \omega_{\epsilon_1}^{n-1})}{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}),$$

and then

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F\|_{L^\alpha(\overline{M}, \omega_\epsilon)} & \leq \left\| \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} (\Lambda_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}} F) \right\|_{L^\alpha(\overline{M}, \omega_\epsilon)} \\ & + \left\| n \frac{F \wedge (\omega_\epsilon^{n-1} - \omega_{\epsilon_1}^{n-1})}{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n} \right\|_{L^\alpha(\overline{M}, \omega_\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we have

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} (\Lambda_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}} F) \right\|_{L^\alpha(\overline{M}, \omega_\epsilon)}^\alpha = \int_{\overline{M}} |\Lambda_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}} F|^\alpha \left(\frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\overline{M}} |\Lambda_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}} F|^{\alpha \cdot p} \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\overline{M}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right)^{(\alpha-1) \cdot q} \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \cdot p = \tilde{\alpha}$, since $\frac{\alpha}{1-(k-1)(\alpha-1)} < \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we have $(\alpha-1)q < \frac{1}{k-1}$.

Since $\overline{M}$ is compact, there is a constant C_M such that $\pi^* \omega \leq C_M \eta$ on $\overline{M}$. Let U be a neighborhood of the exceptional divisor $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$, since $\pi^* \omega$ is degenerated

only along $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$, we can suppose that $\pi^*\omega \geq C_u\eta$ on $\overline{M} \setminus U$ for some positive constant C_u . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\overline{M} \setminus U} \left| \frac{F \wedge (\omega_\epsilon^{n-1} - \omega_{\epsilon_1}^{n-1})}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right| \alpha \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\
 (5.25) \quad &= \int_{\overline{M} \setminus U} |\epsilon_1 - \epsilon|^\alpha \left| \frac{F \wedge \eta \wedge (\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \omega_\epsilon^{n-i-2} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_1}^i)}{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n} \right| \alpha \left(\frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right)^{(\alpha-1)} \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \\
 &\leq C(n) C_u^{-(n+1)\alpha} |\epsilon_1 - \epsilon|^\alpha \int_{\overline{M} \setminus U} |F|_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}}^\alpha \left(\frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right)^{(\alpha-1)} \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \\
 &\leq C(n) C_u^{-(n+1)\alpha+n} (C_M + \epsilon_1)^{n(\alpha-1)} |\epsilon_1 - \epsilon|^\alpha \int_{\overline{M} \setminus U} |F|_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}}^\alpha \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_U \left| \frac{F \wedge (\omega_\epsilon^{n-1} - \omega_{\epsilon_1}^{n-1})}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right| \alpha \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\
 (5.26) \quad &= \int_U \left| \frac{F \wedge (\omega_\epsilon^{n-1} - \omega_{\epsilon_1}^{n-1})}{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n} \right| \alpha \left(\frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right)^{(\alpha-1)} \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \\
 &\leq C(n) \int_U |F|_{\omega_1}^\alpha \left(\frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right)^{(\alpha-1)} \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \\
 &\leq C(n) \left(\int_U |F|_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}}^2 \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(\int_U \left(\frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha-2}{2-\alpha}} \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} \\
 &\leq C(n) (Vol(U, \omega_1))^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \left(\int_U |F|_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}}^2 \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(\int_U \left(\frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha-2}{2-\alpha} \cdot q} \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2q}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\alpha-2}{2-\alpha} + \frac{1}{k-1} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{2\alpha-2}{2-\alpha} \right)^{-1}$, and note that by the condition on α we have $\frac{2\alpha-2}{2-\alpha} \cdot q < \frac{1}{k-1}$.

Using (5.18) in the previous lemma, we see that the result follows from (5.23), (5.24), (5.25) and (5.26). $\square$

Proposition 5.10. *Let (E, ϕ) be a Higgs bundle on a smooth Kähler manifold (M, ω) , and let $F = \{F_i\}_{i=1}^l$ be a filtration of E by saturated subsheaves, where every F_i is ϕ invariant. Let $\pi : \overline{M} \rightarrow M$ be a blow-up along a smooth complex manifold Σ of complex co-dimension $k \geq 2$, $\overline{E} = \pi^*E$ be the pull-back bundle and $\overline{\phi} = \pi^*\phi$. Let η be a Kähler metric on $\overline{M}$, and set a family of Kähler metrics $\omega_\epsilon = \pi^*\omega + \epsilon\eta$, and $\overline{F} = \{\overline{F}_i\}_{i=1}^l = \{Sat_{\overline{E}}(\pi^*F_i)\}_{i=1}^l$ is a filtration of $\overline{E}$ (not necessary given by subbundles). Suppose that for any $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ and any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*$, there is a smooth Hermitian metric $\overline{H}$ on $\overline{E}$ such that*

$$(5.27) \quad \left\| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} (F_{(\overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}, \overline{H})} + [\overline{\phi}, \overline{\phi}^* \overline{H}]) - \Psi(\overline{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon,1}, \cdot, \mu_{\epsilon,l}), \overline{H}) \right\|_{L^2(\overline{M}, \omega_\epsilon)} \leq \tilde{\delta}.$$

Then for any $\delta > 0$ and any $1 \leq p < 1 + \frac{1}{2k-1}$ there are $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and a smooth Hermitian metric $\overline{H}_1$ on $\overline{E}$ such that

$$(5.28) \quad \left\| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} (F_{(\overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}, \overline{H}_1)} + [\overline{\phi}, \overline{\phi}^* \overline{H}_1]) - \Psi(\overline{F}, (\mu_1, \cdot, \mu_l), \overline{H}_1) \right\|_{L^p(\overline{M}, \omega_\epsilon)} \leq \delta,$$

for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$, where μ_i is the ω -slope of sheaf F_i .

Proof. Let $\epsilon_1 \in (0, \epsilon^*)$, by the condition, we can choose a smooth metric $\overline{H}_1$ satisfies (5.27) for ϵ_1 and $\tilde{\delta}$ which will be chosen small enough later. For simplicity,

we denote $\Theta_1 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}(F_{(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}, \bar{H}_1)} + [\bar{\phi}, \bar{\phi}^* \bar{H}_1])$. Then

$$(5.29) \leq \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} (F_{(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{E}}, \bar{H}_1)} + [\bar{\phi}, \bar{\phi}^* \bar{H}_1]) - \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \bar{H}_1) \right\|_{L^p(\omega_\epsilon)} \\ & \left\| \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \left\{ \Theta_1 - \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}}{n} \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon_1,1}, \dots, \mu_{\epsilon_1,l}), \bar{H}_1) \right\} \right\|_{L^p(\omega_\epsilon)} \\ & + \left\| \frac{1}{n} \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} (\omega_{\epsilon_1} - \omega_\epsilon) \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon_1,1}, \dots, \mu_{\epsilon_1,l}), \bar{H}_1) \right\|_{L^p(\omega_\epsilon)} \\ & + \left\| \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \bar{H}_1) - \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon_1,1}, \dots, \mu_{\epsilon_1,l}), \bar{H}_1) \right\|_{L^p(\omega_\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we set $\Theta_2 = \Theta_1 - \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}}{n} \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_{\epsilon_1,1}, \dots, \mu_{\epsilon_1,l}), \bar{H}_1)$. From the equality

$$(5.30) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\bar{M}} (2C_2(\bar{E}) - \frac{r-1}{r} C_1(\bar{E}) \wedge C_1(\bar{E})) \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ & = \int_{\bar{M}} |\Theta_1|_{\bar{H}_1}^2 - |\Lambda_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}} \Theta_1|_H^2 \frac{\omega_{\epsilon_1}^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

we know that $\|\Theta_2\|_{L^2(\bar{M}, \omega_{\epsilon_1})}$ is bounded uniformly. By (5.21), we have

$$(5.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \Theta_2\|_{L^p(\bar{M}, \omega_\epsilon)} \leq |\epsilon_1 - \epsilon| C(U) \|\Theta_2\|_{L^p(\bar{M}, \omega_{\epsilon_1})} \\ & + C(\|\Lambda_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}} \Theta_2\|_{L^2(\bar{M}, \omega_{\epsilon_1})} + (Vol(U, \omega_1))^{C(\alpha, k)} \|\Theta_2\|_{L^2(\bar{M}, \omega_{\epsilon_1})}). \end{aligned}$$

We may choose U such that $Vol(U, \omega_1)$ small enough first, and then $\tilde{\delta}$ and ϵ_1 both sufficiently small so that

$$(5.32) \quad \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \Theta_2\|_{L^p(\bar{M}, \omega_\epsilon)} \leq \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

By (5.18) in Lemma 5.8., it is not difficult to see that $\|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \eta\|_{L^p(\bar{M}, \omega_\epsilon)}$ is uniformly bounded. On the other hand, since $\mu_{\epsilon, i} \rightarrow \mu_i$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we may choose ϵ_1 small enough so that the second and third terms in (5.29) are both smaller than $\frac{\delta}{3}$, so (5.28) follows. $\square$

Proposition 5.11. *Let (E, ϕ) be a Higgs bundle on a smooth Kähler manifold (M, ω) , and let $F = \{F_i\}_{i=1}^l$ be a filtration of E by saturated subsheaves, where every F_i is ϕ invariant. Let $\pi : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ be a blow-up along a smooth complex manifold Σ of complex co-dimension $k \geq 2$, $\bar{E} = \pi^* E$ be the pull-back bundle and $\bar{\phi} = \pi^* \phi$. Let η be a Kähler metric on $\bar{M}$, and set a family of Kähler metrics $\omega_\epsilon = \pi^* \omega + \epsilon \eta$, and $\bar{F} = \{\bar{F}_i\}_{i=1}^l = \{\text{Sat}_{\bar{E}}(\pi^* F_i)\}_{i=1}^l$ is a filtration of $\bar{E}$ (not necessary given by subbundles). Suppose that for any $\delta > 0$ and any $1 \leq p < 1 + \frac{1}{2k-1}$ there is a smooth metric $\bar{H}_1$ on $\bar{E}$ and $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that (5.28) hold for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$. Then for any $\delta' > 0$ and any $1 \leq p < 1 + \frac{1}{2k-1}$ there is a smooth metric H on E such that*

$$(5.33) \quad \left\| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_\omega (F_{(\bar{\partial}_E, H)} + [\phi, \phi^* H]) - \Psi(F, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), H) \right\|_{L^p(M, \omega)} \leq \delta',$$

where μ_i is the ω -slope of sheaf F_i .

Proof We use a cut-off argument to get the smooth metric on bundle E . Since Σ is a smooth complex submanifold, the open set $\{(x, \nu) \in \mathbf{N}_\Sigma \mid |\nu| < R\}$ in the normal bundle $\mathbf{N}_\Sigma$ of Σ , is diffeomorphic to an open neighborhood U_R of Σ for R sufficiently small. For any small R , we may choose a smooth cut-off function ψ_R which supported in U_R and identically 1 on $U_{\frac{R}{2}}$, $0 \leq \psi_R \leq 1$, and furthermore $|\partial \psi_R|^2_\omega + |\bar{\partial} \psi_R|_\omega \leq CR^{-2}$, where C is a positive constant independent of R . Let

H_D be a smooth Hermitian metric on bundle E , and $\overline{H}_1$ be the metric on $\overline{E}$ such that (5.28) holds for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$ where $\delta \leq \frac{\delta'}{4}$. Note that E is isomorphic to $\overline{E}$ outside Σ , we can define

$$(5.34) \quad H_R = (1 - \psi_R)\overline{H}_1 + \psi_R H_D$$

on bundle E , and $\overline{H}_R = \pi^* H_R$ on bundle $\overline{E}$.

As above, we denote $\Theta(\overline{H}_R) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}(F_{(\overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}, \overline{H}_R)} + [\overline{\phi}, \overline{\phi}^* \overline{H}_R])$. We have

$$(5.35) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{M}} |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \Theta(\overline{H}_R) - \Psi(\overline{F}, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \overline{H}_R)|_{\overline{H}_R}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\ & \leq \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_{\frac{R}{2}})} |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \Theta(\pi^* H_D) - \Psi(\overline{F}, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \pi^* H_D)|_{\pi^* H_D}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\ & + \int_{M \setminus \pi^{-1}(U_R)} |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \Theta(\overline{H}_1) - \Psi(\overline{F}, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \overline{H}_1)|_{\overline{H}_1}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\ & + C(p) \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R \setminus U_{\frac{R}{2}})} |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} (\Theta(\overline{H}_R) - \Theta(\overline{H}_1))|_{\overline{H}_R}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\ & + C(p) \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R \setminus U_{\frac{R}{2}})} |\Psi(\overline{F}, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \overline{H}_R) - \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \Theta(\overline{H}_1)|_{\overline{H}_R}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}$, we have

$$(5.36) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_{\frac{R}{2}})} |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \Theta(\pi^* H_D)|_{\pi^* H_D}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\ & = \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_{\frac{R}{2}})} |n \frac{\Theta(\pi^* H_D) \wedge \omega_\epsilon^{n-1}}{\omega_\epsilon^n}|_{\pi^* H_D}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\ & \leq C_0 \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_{\frac{R}{2}})} |\Theta(\pi^* H_D)|_{\pi^* H_D, \eta}^p (\frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n})^{p-1} \frac{\eta^n}{n!} \\ & \leq C_1 \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_{\frac{R}{2}})} (\frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n})^{p-1} \frac{\eta^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is a constant independent of ϵ and R .

By directly calculation, we have

$$(5.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & F_{\overline{H}_R} - F_{\overline{H}_1} = F_{\overline{H}_R} - F_{\overline{H}_D} + F_{\overline{H}_D} - F_{\overline{H}_1} \\ & = \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}(h_R^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_R) - \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}(h_1^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1) \\ & = \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}(-h_R^{-1} h_1 \partial \psi_R + (1 - \psi_R) h_R^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1 + \partial \psi_R h_R^{-1}) \\ & \quad - \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}(h_1^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1) \\ & = \partial \overline{\partial} \psi_R (h_R^{-1} h_1 - h_R^{-1}) - \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}(h_R^{-1} h_1) \wedge \partial \psi_R - \partial \psi_R \wedge \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}} h_R^{-1} \\ & \quad - \overline{\partial} \psi_R \wedge h_R^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1 + (1 - \psi_R) \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}(h_R^{-1} h_1) \wedge h_1^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1 \\ & + ((1 - \psi_R) h_R^{-1} h_1 - Id) \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}(h_1^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1), \\ & = \partial \overline{\partial} \psi_R (h_R^{-1} h_1 - h_R^{-1}) - \overline{\partial} \psi_R \wedge h_R^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1 + \partial \psi_R \wedge h_R^{-1} \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}} h_1 \\ & \quad + \partial \psi_R \wedge \overline{\partial} \psi_R (h_R^{-1} h_1 h_R^{-1} - Id)(h_1 - Id) \\ & \quad + (1 - \psi_R) (\overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}} h_1 \wedge h_1^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1 - \partial \psi_R \wedge h_R^{-1} \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}} h_1 h_R^{-1} (h_1 - Id)) \\ & \quad + (1 - \psi_R) (h_R^{-1} h_1 h_R^{-1} - Id) \overline{\partial} \psi_R \wedge \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1 \\ & \quad - (1 - \psi_R)^2 h_R^{-1} \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}} h_1 \wedge h_R^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1 \\ & \quad + ((1 - \psi_R) h_R^{-1} h_1 - Id) \overline{\partial}_{\overline{E}}(h_1^{-1} \partial_{\overline{H}_D} h_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{H}_D = \pi^* H_D$, $h_R = \overline{H}_D^{-1} \overline{H}_R$ and $h_1 = \overline{H}_D^{-1} \overline{H}_1$. Since the metrics $\overline{H}_1$ and $\overline{H}_D$ are fixed, so we have $\overline{C}^{-1} Id \leq h_R \leq \overline{C} Id$, where constant $\overline{C}$ depends only on $\overline{H}_1$ and $\overline{H}_D$. From the equality (5.37), we have

$$(5.38) \quad |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} (F_{\overline{H}_R} - F_{\overline{H}_1})|_{\overline{H}_R} \leq C_2 (|\partial \overline{\partial} \psi_R|_{\omega_\epsilon} + |\partial \psi_R|_{\omega_\epsilon}^2) + C_3 \frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n},$$

where C_2 and C_3 are constants independent of ϵ and R . On the other hand, we have

$$(5.39) \quad |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}([\bar{\phi}, \bar{\phi}^* \bar{H}_R] - [\bar{\phi}, \bar{\phi}^* \bar{H}_1])|_{\bar{H}_R} \leq C_4 \frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n},$$

where C_4 is a constant which may depend on $\phi, \eta, \bar{H}_D$ and $\bar{H}_1$, but it is independent of ϵ and R . Thus

$$(5.40) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R \setminus U_{\frac{R}{2}})} |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}(\Theta(\bar{H}_R) - \Theta(\bar{H}_1))|_{\bar{H}_R}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\ & \leq C_5 R^{-2p} \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R \setminus U_{\frac{R}{2}})} 1 \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} + C_6 \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R \setminus U_{\frac{R}{2}})} \left(\frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n}\right)^{p-1} \frac{\eta^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_4 and C_5 are constants independent of ϵ and R . Similarly, we have

$$(5.41) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R \setminus U_{\frac{R}{2}})} |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \Theta(\bar{H}_1)|_{\bar{H}_R}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\ & \leq C(n, p) \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R \setminus U_{\frac{R}{2}})} |\Theta(\bar{H}_1)|_{\bar{H}_R, \eta}^p \left(\frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n}\right)^{p-1} \frac{\eta^n}{n!} \\ & \leq C_7 \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R \setminus U_{\frac{R}{2}})} \left(\frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n}\right)^{p-1} \frac{\eta^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_7 is a constant also independent of ϵ and R .

By (5.18), we have $\int_{\bar{M} \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Sigma)} \left(\frac{\eta^n}{(\pi^* \omega)^n}\right)^\gamma \frac{\eta^n}{n!} \leq C^*$. By the relation $\pi^* \omega < \omega_\epsilon < \omega_1$, it is easy to see that

$$(5.42) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R)} \left(\frac{\eta^n}{\omega_\epsilon^n}\right)^{p-1} \frac{\eta^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0, \\ & \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R)} |\Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \bar{H}_D)|_{\bar{H}_R}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0, \\ & \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R)} |\Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \bar{H}_R)|_{\bar{H}_R}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $R \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in ϵ .

By (5.35), (5.36), (5.40), (5.41) and (5.42), and choosing R_0 sufficiently small, we have

$$(5.43) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\bar{M}} |\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} \Theta(\bar{H}_R) - \Psi(\bar{F}, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \bar{H}_R)|_{\bar{H}_R}^p \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!} \\ & \leq \frac{\delta'}{2} + C_5 R^{-2p} \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_R \setminus U_{\frac{R}{2}})} 1 \frac{\omega_\epsilon^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$ and $0 < R \leq R_0$. Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$(5.44) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\bar{M}} |\Lambda_\omega \Theta(H_R) - \Psi(F, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), H_R)|_{H_R}^p \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ & \leq \frac{\delta'}{2} + C_5 R^{-2p} \int_{U_R} 1 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Since Σ has Hausdorff dimension at most $2n - 2k$, it is easy to see that $\text{Vol}(U_R, \omega) \leq CR^{2k}$ for some uniform constant C . By the assumption of p , we know that $2k - 2p > 0$, choosing R small enough, then (5.33) follows. $\square$

Theorem 5.12. *Let (E, A_0, ϕ_0) be a Higgs bundle on a smooth Kähler manifold (M, ω) , and (A_t, ϕ_t) be the smooth solution of the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (1.4) on the Hermitian vector bundle (E, H_0) with initial data $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathbf{B}_{(E, H_0)}$. Suppose that for any $\delta' > 0$ and any $1 \leq p < p_0$ there is a smooth metric H on E such that (5.33) holds, where $\bar{\mu}_0$ is the Harder-Narasimhan type of (E, A_0, ϕ_0) . Let (A_∞, ϕ_∞) be an Uhlenbeck limit of (A_t, ϕ_t) , and (E_∞, H_∞) be the corresponding Hermitian vector bundle defined away from Σ_{an} . Then*

$$(5.45) \quad \text{HYM}_{\alpha, N}(A_\infty, \phi_\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{HYM}_{\alpha, N}(A_t, \phi_t) = \text{HYM}_{\alpha, N}(\bar{\mu}_0)$$

for all $1 \leq \alpha < p_0$ and all $N \in R$; the HN type of the Higgs sheaf $(E_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ is the same as (E, A_0, ϕ_0) .

Proof Firstly, since the norm $(\int_M \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{a}) d\text{vol})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ is equivalent to the L^α norm on $\mathbf{u}(E)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}((\bar{\partial}_{A_0}, H), \phi_0))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - (\text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}_0))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}| \\ & \leq (\int_M |\varphi_\alpha(\sqrt{-1}(\Theta((\bar{\partial}_{A_0}, H), \phi_0) + N \text{Id}_E)))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - (\varphi_\alpha(\bar{\mu} + N))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}|^{\alpha \frac{\omega^n}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ & \leq (\int_M \varphi_\alpha(\sqrt{-1}(\Theta((\bar{\partial}_{A_0}, H), \phi_0)) - \Psi(F, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), H))^{\frac{\omega^n}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ & \leq C(\alpha) \|\Theta((\bar{\partial}_{A_0}, H), \phi_0) - \Psi(F, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), H)\|_{L^\alpha(M, \omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the above inequality and the condition (5.33), we see for any $\delta > 0$ and any $1 \leq \alpha < p_0$ there is H such that

$$(5.46) \quad \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}((\bar{\partial}_{A_0}, H), \phi_0) \leq \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}_0) + \delta.$$

For fixed α , $1 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0$, and fixed N , since the image of the degree map on line bundles is discrete, we can define $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$(5.47) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2\delta_0 + \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}_0) \\ & = \min\{\text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}) : \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}) > \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}_0)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ runs over all possible HN types of Higgs sheaves on M with the rank of E .

Let H be a Hermitian metric on the complex bundle E , and (A_t^H, ϕ_t^H) be the solution to the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (1.4) on the Hermitian vector bundle (E, H) with initial pair $(A_0^H, \phi_0) \in \mathbf{B}_{(E,H)}$ where $A_0^H = (\bar{\partial}_{A_0}, H)$. Let $(A_\infty^H, \phi_\infty)$ be an Uhlenbeck limit along the flow (1.4).

Assume that the H satisfies:

$$(5.48) \quad \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}((\bar{\partial}_{A_0}, H), \phi_0) \leq \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}_0) + \delta_0.$$

By Prop. 5.3 and Prop. 5.5, we obtain:

$$\text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}_0) \leq \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(A_\infty^H, \phi_\infty) \leq \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}_0) + \delta_0.$$

Hence, we must have $\text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(A_\infty^H, \phi_\infty) = \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}_0)$. This shows that the result holds if the metric H_0 satisfies (5.48).

We are going to prove that for any metric H , for any fixed δ there is $T \geq 0$ such that:

$$(5.49) \quad \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(A_t^H, \phi_t^H) < \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}_0) + \delta,$$

for all $t \geq T$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $0 < \delta \leq \frac{\delta_0}{2}$.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{H}_\delta$ the set of smooth Hermitian metrics on E with the property that the above inequality (5.49) holds for some T . From (5.46) and the discussion above, we know $\mathbf{H}_\delta$ is nonempty. In [23] (Proposition 2.1'), we have proved that the continuous dependence of the Donaldson's flow (2.1) on initial conditions. Following the argument in [9] (Lemma 4.3), see also Theorem 5.13 in [23], we can show that $\mathbf{H}_\delta$ is closed and also open. The proof is exactly the same as that in [23] (Theorem 5.13), we omit it. Since the space of smooth metrics is connected, we conclude that every metric is in $\mathbf{H}_\delta$. Then, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(A_t^H, \phi_t^H) = \text{HYM}_{\alpha,N}(\bar{\mu}_0)$ for any metric H .

Let $\bar{\lambda}_\infty$ be the HN type of $(E_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$, by (5.45) and Proposition 5.5, we have $\varphi_\alpha(\bar{\mu}_0 + N) = \varphi_\alpha(\bar{\lambda}_\infty + N)$ for all $1 \leq \alpha < p_0$ and all N . We may choose

N sufficiently large so that every elements in the vectors $\vec{\mu}_0 + N$ and $\vec{\lambda}_\infty + N$ are positive. Then, by Lemma 5.2, we have $\vec{\mu}_0 + N = \vec{\lambda}_\infty + N$, and so $\vec{\mu}_0 = \vec{\lambda}_\infty$. $\square$

Let the following filtrations of saturated sheaves

$$(5.50) \quad 0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_l = (E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0})$$

be a HN filtration of the Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0)$. The action of g_j produces a sequence of HN filtration

$$(5.51) \quad 0 = E_0^{(j)} \subset E_1^{(j)} \subset \cdots \subset E_l^{(j)} = (E, \bar{\partial}_{A_j}),$$

where $E_\alpha^{(j)} = g_j(E_\alpha)$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, l$. Let $\pi_\alpha^{(j)}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $E_\alpha^{(j)}$, then we have $\pi_\alpha^{(j)} \in L_1^2$ and satisfies the conditions in (2.43). Using the above Theorem 5.12, by the same argument in [9] (Proposition 4.5), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.13. *Let (E, A_0, ϕ_0) be a Higgs bundle on a smooth Kähler manifold (M, ω) , and satisfy the same assumptions as that in Theorem 5.12.*

(1) *Let $\{\pi_\alpha^\infty\}$ be the HN filtration of the reflexive Higgs sheaf $(E_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$, then there is a subsequence of HN filtration $\{\pi_\alpha^{(j)}\}$ converges to a filtration $\{\pi_\alpha^\infty\}$ strongly in $L^p \cap L_{1,loc}^2$ off Σ_{an} .*

(2) *Assume the Higgs bundle (E, A_0, ϕ_0) is semi-stable and $\{E_\alpha\}$ is the Seshadri filtration of (E, A_0, ϕ_0) , then, after passing to a subsequence, $\{\pi_\alpha^{(j)}\}$ converges to a filtration $\{\pi_\alpha^\infty\}$ strongly in $L^p \cap L_{1,loc}^2$ off Σ_{an} , the rank and degree of π_α^∞ is equal to the rank and degree of π_α^j for all α and j .*

Proof By the formula (5.4) in Lemma 5.4, we have

$$(5.52) \quad \begin{aligned} & \deg(E_\alpha^{(j)}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M |\bar{\partial}_{A_j} \pi_\alpha^{(j)}|_{H_0}^2 + |[\phi_j, \pi_\alpha^{(j)}]|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ & \leq \sum_{i \leq \text{rank } E_\alpha} \lambda_i + \|\theta(A_j, \phi_j) - \theta(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

By theorem 5.12, we have $\vec{\mu}_0 = \vec{\lambda}_\infty$. Since $E_\alpha^{(j)}$ is a Higgs sheaf of the Higgs bundle $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$ and $\mu(E_\alpha^{(j)}) = \mu(E_\alpha) = \mu(E)$, we have

$$(5.53) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_M |\bar{\partial}_{A_j} \pi_\alpha^{(j)}|_{H_0}^2 + |[\phi_j, \pi_\alpha^{(j)}]|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ & \leq \|\theta(A_j, \phi_j) - \theta(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$, where we have the property that $\theta(A_j, \phi_j) \rightarrow \theta(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ strongly in L^p for all p . After perhaps passing to a subsequence, we have $\pi_\alpha^{(j)} \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty$ weakly in L_1^2 , for some L_1^2 projection $\tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty$. Since $\pi_\alpha^{(j)}$ is uniformly bounded, we see $\pi_\alpha^{(j)} \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty$ strongly in L^p for all p . Recall that $(A_j, \phi_j) \rightarrow (A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ in C_{loc}^∞ topology on $M \setminus \Sigma_{an}$ and write

$$(5.54) \quad \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty} \pi_\alpha^{(j)} = \bar{\partial}_{A_j} \pi_\alpha^{(j)} + (A_\infty^{0,1} - A_j^{0,1}) \circ \pi_\alpha^{(j)} - \pi_\alpha^{(j)} \circ (A_\infty^{0,1} - A_j^{0,1}),$$

then as in the proof of Lemma 4.5 in [9], we conclude from (5.53) that $\bar{\partial}_{A_\infty} \tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty = 0$, and $\pi_\alpha^{(j)} \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty$ strongly in $L^p \cap L_{1,loc}^2$ off Σ_{an} . On the other hand, it is easy to check that $[\phi_\infty, \tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty] = 0$ and $(\tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty)^2 = \tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty = (\tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty)^*$. By Proposition 2.12., we know that

$\tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty$ determines a ϕ_∞ -invariant coherent subsheaf $\tilde{E}_\alpha^\infty$ of $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty})$. Furthermore, it is clear $\text{rank}(\tilde{E}_\alpha^\infty) = \text{rank}(E_\alpha) = \text{rank}(\pi_\alpha^\infty)$. Using (5.53), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \deg(\tilde{E}_\alpha^\infty) &= \int_M \text{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\theta(A_\infty, \phi_\infty)\tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
 &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_M \text{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\theta(A_j, \phi_j)\tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
 (5.55) \quad &= \deg(E_\alpha^{(j)}) + \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_M |\bar{\partial}_{A_j}\pi_\alpha^{(j)}|_{H_0}^2 + |[\phi_j, \pi_\alpha^{(j)}]|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
 &= \deg(E_\alpha) = \deg(\pi_\alpha^\infty).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, the rank and degree of $\tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty$ is equal to the rank and degree of π_α^∞ for all α . By the uniqueness of the maximal destabilizing subsheaf π_1^∞ in the HN filtration of Higgs sheaf $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$, then we have $\tilde{\pi}_1^\infty = \pi_1^\infty$. Proceeding by induction, it is easy to conclude that $\tilde{\pi}_\alpha^\infty = \pi_\alpha^\infty$ for all α . This completes the proof of part (1) of the lemma.

For part (2), notice that the argument given above applies to Seshadri filtration as well, where because of the lack of uniqueness of Seshadri filtration we may conclude only that the ranks and degrees of limiting filtration are same with that of the original filtration. $\square$

Proposition 5.14. *Let (E, A_0, ϕ_0) be a Higgs bundle on a smooth Kähler manifold (M, ω) , and satisfy the same assumptions as that in Theorem 5.12. Then given $\delta > 0$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, (E, A_0, ϕ_0) has a L^p δ -approximate Hermitian structure.*

Proof. Let (A_t, ϕ_t) be the solution of the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (1.4) with initial data $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathbf{B}_{(E, H_0)}$, and H_t be the solution of the heat flow (2.1) on Higgs bundle (E, A_0, ϕ_0) with initial data H_0 . Let (A_∞, ϕ_∞) be the Uhlenbeck limiting of some sequence (A_{t_j}, ϕ_{t_j}) . Applying the previous lemma, we have $\Psi_\omega^{HN}((A_{t_j}, \phi_{t_j}), H_0) \rightarrow \Psi_\omega^{HN}((A_\infty, \phi_\infty), H_\infty)$ strongly in L^p for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. By Corollary 2.8., we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.56) \quad & \left\| \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \Lambda_\omega(F_{A_{H(t_j)}} + [\phi_0, \phi_0^{*H(t_j)}]) - \Psi_\omega^{HN}((E, A_0, \phi_0), H(t_j)) \right\|_{L^p(\omega)} \\
 &= \left\| \theta(A_{t_j}, \phi_{t_j}) - \Psi_\omega^{HN}((A_{t_j}, \phi_{t_j}), H_0) \right\|_{L^p(\omega)} \\
 &= \left\| \theta(A_{t_j}, \phi_{t_j}) - \theta(A_\infty, \phi_\infty) \right\|_{L^p(\omega)} \\
 &+ \left\| \Psi_\omega^{HN}((A_\infty, \phi_\infty), H_\infty) - \Psi_\omega^{HN}((A_{t_j}, \phi_{t_j}), H_0) \right\|_{L^p(\omega)} \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Theorem 5.15. *Let (E, A_0, ϕ_0) be a Higgs bundle on a smooth Kähler manifold (M, ω) . Then given $\delta > 0$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, (E, A_0, ϕ_0) has a L^p approximate Hermitian structure.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, we can resolve the singularity set Σ_{al} by blowing up finitely many times, i.e. we have a sequence of blow-ups:

$$(5.57) \quad \pi_i : \bar{M}_i \rightarrow \bar{M}_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

where $\bar{M}_0 = M$, such that every π_i is blow up along a smooth complex submanifold, every $\bar{E}_i = \pi^*(E_{i-1})$ is bundle, and the pull back filtration $(\pi_r \circ \dots \circ \pi_1)^*(F^{HNS}(E, A_0, \phi_0))$ of $\bar{E}_r$ is given by $\bar{\phi}_r$ -invariant sub-bundles, where $\bar{\phi}_i = (\pi_i \circ \dots \circ \pi_1)^*(\phi_0)$. On each blow-up $\bar{M}_i$, we have a family of Kähler metrics defined iteratively by $\omega_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_i} = \pi_i^* \omega_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{i-1}} + \epsilon_i \eta_i$, where η_i is a Kähler metric on $\bar{M}_i$.

By proposition 5.14., for any fixed small $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{r-1}$, and any δ' , we have a metric H on bundle E_{r-1} such that

$$\|\Theta_{\omega_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{r-1}}}((\bar{\partial}_{E_{r-1}}, H), \bar{\phi}_{r-1}) - \Psi_{\omega_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{r-1}}}^{HN}((\bar{\partial}_{E_{r-1}}, \bar{\phi}_{r-1}), H)\|_{L^2(\omega_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{r-1}})} \leq \delta'.$$

By induction, we can assume that, for any fixed small ϵ_1 and any δ' , we have a metric H on bundle E_1 such that

$$(5.58) \quad \|\Theta_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}}((\bar{\partial}_{E_1}, H), \bar{\phi}_1) - \Psi_{\omega_{\epsilon_1}}^{HN}((\bar{\partial}_{E_1}, \bar{\phi}_1), H)\|_{L^2(\omega_{\epsilon_1})} \leq \delta'.$$

Since $\pi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow M$ is the blow-up along a smooth complex submanifold, by Proposition 5.10, then for any $\delta > 0$ and any $1 \leq p < 1 + \frac{1}{2k-1}$ there are $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and a smooth Hermitian metric $\bar{H}_1$ on E_1 such that

$$(5.59) \quad \|\Theta_{\omega_{\epsilon}}((\bar{\partial}_{E_1}, H_1), \bar{\phi}_1) - \Psi_{\omega_{\epsilon}}^{HN}((\bar{\partial}_{E_1}, \bar{\phi}_1), H_1)\|_{L^p(\omega_{\epsilon})} \leq \delta'.$$

for all $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$. By Proposition 5.11, Theorem 5.12 and Proposition 5.14, we see that for any given δ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, (E, A_0, ϕ_0) has a L^p δ -approximate Hermitian structure. $\square$

Then repeating the argument in Theorem 5.12, we have:

Theorem 5.16. *Let (A_t, ϕ_t) be a smooth solution of the gradient flow (1.4) on the Hermitian vector bundle (E, H_0) with initial condition $(A_0, \phi_0) \in \mathcal{B}_{(E, H_0)}$, and (A_∞, ϕ_∞) be a Uhlenbeck limit. Let E_∞ denote the vector bundle obtained from (A_∞, ϕ_∞) as that in Proposition 2.10. Then the Harder-Narasimhan type of the extended reflexive Higgs sheaf $(E_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ is same as that of the original Higgs bundle (E_0, A_0, ϕ_0) .*

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.

Let $\{E_{\alpha, \beta}\}$ be the HNS-filtration of the Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0)$, the associated graded object $Gr^{HNS}(E, A_0, \phi_0) = \bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^l \bigoplus_{\beta=1}^{r_\alpha} Q_{\alpha, \beta}$ be uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of (A_0, ϕ_0) , where $Q_{\alpha, \beta} = E_{\alpha, \beta} / E_{\alpha, \beta-1}$. We refer to Σ_{al} as the singular set of the double filtration $\{E_{\alpha, \beta}\}$, it is a complex analytic subset of M of complex codimensional at least 2. We will prove the result inductively on the length of the HNS filtration. The inductive hypotheses on a sheaf Q are following:

Inductive hypotheses: *There is a sequence of Higgs structures (A_j^Q, ϕ_j^Q) on Q such that:*

- (1) $(A_j^Q, \phi_j^Q) \rightarrow (A_\infty^Q, \phi_\infty^Q)$ in C_{loc}^∞ off $\Sigma_{al} \cup \Sigma_{an}$;
- (2) $(A_j^Q, \phi_j^Q) = g_j(A_0^Q, \phi_0^Q)$ for some $g_j \in \mathcal{G}^C(Q)$;
- (3) $(Q, \bar{\partial}_{A_0^Q}, \phi_0^Q)$ and $(Q_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty^Q}, \phi_\infty^Q)$ extended to M as reflexive Higgs sheaves with the same HN type;
- (4) $\|\phi_j^Q\|_{C^0}$ and $\|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j^Q})\|_{L^1(\omega)}$ is uniformly bounded in j .

Let $S = E_{1,1}$ be the first stable Higgs sub-sheaf corresponding to the HNS-filtration of the Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0)$, $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the resolution of singularities Σ_{al} then the filtration of $\tilde{E} = \pi^*E$ is given by subbundles $\{\tilde{E}_{\alpha, \beta}\}$, isomorphic to $\{E_{\alpha, \beta}\}$ off the exception divisor $\tilde{\Sigma} = \pi^{-1}(\Sigma_{al})$. Setting $(\tilde{A}_j, \tilde{\phi}_j) = \pi^*(A_j, \phi_j)$

and $\tilde{g}_j = \pi^* g_j$, then we have $(\tilde{A}_j, \tilde{\phi}_i) = \tilde{g}_j(\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{\phi}_0)$. By Theorem 2.7., we know that $(\tilde{A}_j, \tilde{\phi}_j)$ converges to $(\tilde{A}_\infty, \tilde{\phi}_\infty)$ in C_{loc}^∞ topology outside $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma_{al} \cup \Sigma_{an})$. By Corollary 2.8. and the uniform C^0 bound on $\phi(t)$ (Lemma 2.3), we have $|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F_{\tilde{A}_j})|_{L^\infty}$, specially $\|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_0}(F_{\tilde{A}_j})\|_{L^1}$ is uniformly bounded in j , where $\omega_0 = \pi^*\omega$.

Using Proposition 4.1, we have a subsequence of $\tilde{g}_j \circ i_0$, up to rescale, converges to a nonzero smooth $\tilde{\phi}$ -invariant holomorphic map $\tilde{f}_\infty : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_\infty$ off $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma_{al} \cup \Sigma_{an})$. Since $\tilde{S}$ is isomorphic to S off the exception divisor, then we obtain a subsequence of $f_j = g_j \circ i_0$ up to rescale, which converges to a nonzero smooth ϕ -invariant holomorphic map $f_\infty : S \rightarrow (E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty})$ in C_{loc}^∞ on $M \setminus \Sigma_{an} \cup \Sigma_{al}$, where $i_0 : S \rightarrow (E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0})$ is the holomorphic inclusion. By Hartog's theorem, f_∞ extends to a Higgs sheaf homomorphism $f_\infty : (S, \phi_0) \rightarrow (E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$ on M (where $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$ is the extended reflexive Higgs sheaf).

As above, $\pi_1^{(j)}$ denotes the projection to $g_j(S)$. Since $\pi_1^{(j)} \circ f_j = f_j$, we see that in the limit $\pi_1^\infty \circ f_\infty = f_\infty$. By Lemma 5.13, we know that π_1^∞ determines a Higgs subsheaf $E_{1,1}^\infty$ of $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$, with $rank(E_{1,1}^\infty) = rank(S)$ and $\mu(E_{1,1}^\infty) = \mu(S)$. Since $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$ and $(E_0, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0)$ have the same HN type, thus we have the Higgs subsheaf $(E_{1,1}^\infty, \phi_\infty)$ is semistable and

$$(6.1) \quad f_\infty : S \rightarrow E_{1,1}^\infty.$$

Recall that $S = E_{1,1}$ is Higgs stable. By Lemma 3.4., we see that the non-zero holomorphic map f_∞ must be injective, then

$$(6.2) \quad S \simeq E_{1,1}^\infty = f_\infty(S)$$

on $M \setminus (\Sigma_{al} \cup \Sigma_{an})$. It is easy to see that $E_{1,1}^\infty$ is a stable Higgs subsheaf of $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$.

Let $\{e_\alpha\}$ be a local frame of S , and $H_{j,\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \langle f_j(e_\alpha), f_j(e_\beta) \rangle_{H_0}$. We can write the orthogonal projection $\pi_1^{(j)}$ as

$$(6.3) \quad \pi_1^{(j)}(X) = \langle X, f_j(e_\beta) \rangle H_j^{\alpha,\bar{\beta}} f_j(e_\alpha)$$

for any $X \in E$, where $(H_j^{\alpha,\bar{\beta}})$ is the inverse of the matrix $(H_{j,\alpha\bar{\beta}})$. Because $f_j \rightarrow f_\infty$ in $C^\infty(\Omega)$, and f_∞ is injective, then we can prove that $\pi_1^{(j)} \rightarrow \pi_1^\infty$ in C_{loc}^∞ off $\Sigma_{an} \cup \Sigma_{al}$.

Let $Q = E/S$, then we have $Gr^{HNS}(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0) = S \oplus Gr^{HNS}(Q, \bar{\partial}_{A_0^Q}, \phi_0^Q)$. Write the orthogonal holomorphic decomposition $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty) = E_1^\infty \oplus Q_\infty$, where $Q_\infty = (E_1^\infty)^\perp$ because H_∞ is admissible Hermitian-Einstein metric. Using Lemma 5.12 in [8], we can choose a sequence of unitary gauge transformation u_j such that $\pi_1^{(j)} = u_j \tilde{\pi}_j u_j^{-1}$ where $\tilde{\pi}_j(E) = \pi_1^\infty(E) = E_1^\infty$ and $u_j \rightarrow Id_E$ in $C^\infty(loc)$ on $M \setminus (\Sigma_{al} \cup \Sigma_{an})$. It is easy to check that $u_j(Q_\infty) = (\pi_1^{(j)}(E))^\perp$. Noting the bundle isomorphisms $p^* : Q \rightarrow S^\perp$ and the unitary gauge transformation $u_0 : Q_\infty \rightarrow S^\perp$, and considering the induced connections on Q , we have

$$(6.4) \quad D_{A_j^Q} = u_0 \circ u_j^{-1} \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ D_{A_j} \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ u_j \circ u_0^{-1},$$

$$(6.5) \quad \phi_j^Q = u_0 \circ u_j^{-1} \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ \phi_j \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ u_j \circ u_0^{-1} \in \Omega^{1,0}(End(Q)),$$

$$(6.6) \quad h_j = u_0 \circ u_j^{-1} \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ g_j \in \mathbf{G}^C(Q).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\partial}_{A_j^Q} &= u_0 \circ u_j^{-1} \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ \bar{\partial}_j \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ u_j \circ u_0^{-1} \\
 (6.7) \quad &= u_0 \circ u_j^{-1} \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ g_j \circ \pi_0^\perp \circ \bar{\partial}_0 \circ \pi_0^\perp \circ g_j^{-1} \circ u_j \circ u_0^{-1} \\
 &= h_j \circ \bar{\partial}_{A_0^Q} \circ h_j^{-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(6.8) \quad \partial_{A_j^Q} = (h_j^*)^{-1} \circ \partial_{A_0^Q} \circ h_j^*,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_j^Q &= u_0 \circ u_j^{-1} \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ g_j \circ \phi_0 \circ g_j^{-1} \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ u_j \circ u_0^{-1} \\
 (6.9) \quad &= u_0 \circ u_j^{-1} \circ \pi_j^\perp \circ g_j \circ \pi_0^\perp \circ \phi_0 \circ \pi_0^\perp \circ g_j^{-1} \circ u_j \circ u_0^{-1} \\
 &= h_j \circ \phi_0^Q \circ h_j^{-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.10) \quad \bar{\partial}_{A_j^Q} \phi_j^Q = \pi_0^\perp \circ (\bar{\partial}_0 \circ \phi_0 + \phi_0 \circ \bar{\partial}_0) \pi_0^\perp = 0,$$

where we have used $h_j^{-1} = \pi_0^\perp \circ g_j^{-1} \circ u_j \circ u_0^{-1}$. On the other hand, by the definition, it is easy to check that $u_0^*(A_j^Q, \phi_j^Q) \rightarrow (A_\infty^Q, \phi_\infty^Q)$ in C_{loc}^∞ . Now we check the third statement in the inductive hypotheses. Let's consider the Gauss-Codazzi equation on $(\pi_1^{(j)}(E))^\perp = Q^j$

$$(6.11) \quad F_{A_{Q^j}} = (\pi_1^{(j)})^\perp \circ F_{A_j} + \partial_{A_j} \pi_1^{(j)} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{A_j} \pi_1^{(j)},$$

where $D_{A_{Q^j}} = (\pi_1^{(j)})^\perp \circ D_{A_j}$. Setting the Higgs field $\phi_{Q^j} = (\pi_1^{(j)})^\perp \circ \phi_j$, by (5.53) and (5.56), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_M |\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j^Q} + [\phi_j^Q, (\phi_j^Q)^*]) - \Psi^{hn}((A_j^Q, \phi_j^Q), H_0)| \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
 &= \int_M |\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega(F_{A_{Q^j}} + [\phi_{Q^j}, (\phi_{Q^j})^*]) - \Psi^{hn}((A_{Q^j}, \phi_{Q^j}), H_0)| \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
 &= \int_M |(\pi_1^{(j)})^\perp \{ \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j} + [\phi_j, (\phi_j)^*]) - \Psi^{hn}((A_j, \phi_j), H_0) \} (\pi_1^{(j)})^\perp \\
 (6.12) \quad &+ \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega(\partial_{A_j} \pi_1^{(j)} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{A_j} \pi_1^{(j)}) \\
 &- (\pi_1^{(j)})^\perp ([\phi_j, \pi_1^{(j)}] \wedge \phi_j^* + ([\pi_1^{(j)}, \phi_j])^* \wedge \phi_j) | \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
 &\leq \int_M |\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j} + [\phi_j, (\phi_j)^*]) - \Psi^{hn}((A_j, \phi_j), H_0)| + |\bar{\partial}_{A_j} \pi_1^{(j)}|^2 \\
 &\quad + |\phi_j| |[\phi_j, \pi_1^{(j)}]| \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
 &\rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since C^0 norm of ϕ_j is uniformly bounded, then $\|\phi_j^Q\|_{C^0}$ and $\|\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega(F_{A_j^Q})\|_{L^1(\omega)}$ is uniformly bounded in j . So, (Q, A_j^Q, ϕ_j^Q) satisfy the inductive hypotheses. Since we can resolve the singularity set Σ_{al} by blowing up finitely many times with non-singular center, and the pulling back of the HNS filtration is given by sub-bundles. The sheaf Q and every geometric objects which we considered are induced by the HNS filtration, so their pulling back are all smooth. Using Proposition 4.1 again, by induction we have

$$(6.13) \quad E_\infty \simeq Gr^{HNS}(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} Q_{i,j}$$

on $M \setminus (\Sigma_{al} \cup \Sigma_{an})$. By Proposition 2.7, we know that $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty)$ can be extended to the whole M as a reflexive Higgs sheaf. By the uniqueness of reflexive extension in [30], we know that there exists a sheaf isomorphism

$$(6.14) \quad f : (E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}, \phi_\infty) \rightarrow Gr^{HNS}(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0)^{**}$$

on M . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A **308**(1982), 524-615.
- [2] L. Alvarez-Consul and O. Garcis-Prada, *Dimensional reduction, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant bundles and stable holomorphic chains*, Int. J. Math., **2**(2001), 159-201.
- [3] O. Biquard, *On parabolic bundles over a complex surface*, J. London. Math. Soc., **53**(1996), no.2, 302-316.
- [4] S.B. Bradlow, *Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Kähler manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **135**(1990), 1-17.
- [5] S.B. Bradlow and O. Garcia-Prada, *Stable triples, equivariant bundles and dimensional reduction*, Math. Ann. **304** (1996), 225-252.
- [6] S. Bando and Y.T. Siu, *Stable sheaves and Einstein-Hermitian metrics*, in *Geometry and Analysis on Complex Manifolds*, World Scientific, 1994, 39-50.
- [7] P.D. Bartolomeis and G. Tian, *Stability of complex vector bundles*, J. Differential Geometry, **43** (1996), 232-275.
- [8] G. Daskalopoulos, *The topology of the space of stable bundles on a Riemann surface*, J. Differential Geom. **36**(1992), 699-746.
- [9] G. Daskalopoulos and R. Wentworth, *Convergence properties of the Yang-Mills flow on Kähler surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **575**(2004), 69-99.
- [10] S.K. Donaldson, *A new proof of a theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri*, J. Differential Geom., **18**(1983), 279-315.
- [11] S.K. Donaldson, *Anti-self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **50**(1985), 1-26.
- [12] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
- [13] O. Garcia-Prada, *Dimensional reduction of stable bundles, vortices and stable pairs*, Int. J. Math. **5** (1994), 1-52.
- [14] Tamas Hausel and Michael Thaddeus, *Generators for the cohomology ring of the moduli space of rank 2 Higgs bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **88**(3):632C658, 2004.
- [15] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero*, Ann. of Math. (2) **79** no.1, 109-203.
- [16] N.J. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55**(1987), 59-126.
- [17] M.C. Hong and G. Tian, *Asymptotical behaviour of the Yang-Mills flow and singular Yang-Mills connections*, Math. Ann. **330**(2004), no. 3, 441-472.
- [18] A. Jacob, *The Yang-Mills flow and the Atiyah-Bott formula on compact Kähler manifolds*, arXiv:1109.1550.
- [19] S. Kobayashi, *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, **15**. Kano Memorial Lectures, 5. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1987).
- [20] J.Y. Li, *Hermitian-Einstein metrics and Chern number inequalities on parabolic stable bundles over Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **8**(2000), no. 3, 445-475.
- [21] J.Y. Li and M.S. Narasimhan, *Hermitian-Einstein metrics on parabolic stable bundles*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **15** (1999), no. 1, 93-114.
- [22] J. Li and S.T. Yau, *Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection on non-Kähler manifolds*, Mathematical aspects of string theory (San Diego, Calif., 1986), 560-573, *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, 1, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1987.
- [23] J.Y. Li and X. Zhang, *The gradient flow of Higgs pairs*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., **13**(2011), 1373-1422.

- [24] J.Y.Li and X.Zhang, *Existence of approximate Hermitian-Einstein structures on semi-stable Higgs bundles*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **52**(2015), no. 3-4, 783C795.
- [25] Peter. Li, *Geometry analysis*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, (No. 134).
- [26] I. Mundet i Riera, *A Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for Kähler fibrations*, J.reine angew. Math. **528**(2000), 41-80.
- [27] M.S.Narasimhan and C.S.Seshadri, *Stable and unitary vector bundles on compact Riemann surfaces*, Ann. of Math., **82** (1965) 540-567.
- [28] B.Sibley, *Asymptotics of the Yang-Mills flow for holomorphic vector bundles over Kähler manifolds: the canonical structure of the limit*, arXiv:1206.5491v1.
- [29] C.T.Simpson, *Constructing variations of Hodge structures using Yang-Mills connections and applications to uniformization*, J.Amer.Math.Soc., **1**, (1988)867-918.
- [30] Y.T.Siu, *A Hartogs type extension theorem for coherent analytic sheaves*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93**(1971), no.1, 166-188.
- [31] T.Mochizuki, *Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmonic bundles and an application*, Astérisque **309** (2006), ISBN: 978-2-85629-226-6, +117pp.
- [32] K.K.Uhlenbeck and S.T.Yau, *On existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection in stable vector bundles*, Comm.Pure Appl.Math., **39S**(1986), 257-293.
- [33] G.Wilkin, *Morse theory for the space of Higgs bundles*, Comm. Anal. Geom., **16**(2)(2008), 283-332.

KEY LABORATORY OF WU WEN-TSUN MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, 230026, AND AMSS, CAS, BEIJING, 100080, P.R. CHINA,
E-mail address: `jiayuli@ustc.edu.cn`

KEY LABORATORY OF WU WEN-TSUN MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, 230026, P.R. CHINA,
E-mail address: `mathzx@ustc.edu.cn`